arXiv:1011.3071v2 [math.PR] 3 Aug 2011

# Multivalued stochastic Dirichlet-Neumann problems and generalized backward doubly stochastic differential equations[*]

**Yong Ren**$^{1\dagger}$, **Qing Zhou**$^{2\ \ddagger}$, **Auguste Aman**$^{3\S}$

1. Department of Mathematics, Anhui Normal University, Wuhu 241000, China
2. School of Science, Beijing University of Posts and Telecommunications, Beijing 100876, China
3. U.F.R Mathematiques et Informatique, Université de Cocody, 22 BP 582 Abidjan, Côte d'Ivoire

## Abstract

In this paper, a class of generalized backward doubly stochastic differential equations whose coefficient contains the subdifferential operators of two convex functions, which are also called as generalized backward doubly stochastic variational inequalities, are considered. By means of a penalization argument based on Yosida approximation, we establish the existence and uniqueness of the solution. As an application, this result is used to derive existence result of stochastic viscosity solution for a class of multivalued stochastic Dirichlet-Neumann problems.

**2000 MR Subject Classification** 60H15, 60H20

**Keywords** Backward doubly stochastic differential equation, subdifferential operator, Yosida approximation, Neumann-Dirichlet boundary conditions, stochastic viscosity solution

## 1 Introduction

The theory of nonlinear backward stochastic differential equations (BSDEs, for short) was firstly developed by Pardoux and Peng [16]. These equations have attracted great interest due to their connections with stochastic control, mathematical finance and due to providing probabilistic interpretation for solutions of PDEs. One can see Hamedène and Lepeltier [12], El Karoui et al. [9], Peng [20], Ren et al. [22, 23] and the references therein. Further, other settings of BSDEs have been introduced. Especially, Gegout-Petit and Pardoux [10] introduced a class of BSDEs related to a multivalued maximal monotone operator defined by the subdifferential operator of a convex

---

[*] The two first authors are supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 10901003), the Great Research Project of Natural Science Foundation of Anhui Provincial Universities (No. KJ2010ZD02) and the Chinese Universities Scientific Fund (No. BUPT2009RC0705)
The third author is supported by TWAS Research Grants to individuals (No. 09-100 RG/MATHS/AF/AC-I–UNESCO FR: 3240230311)

[†] Corresponding author. e-mail: renyong@126.com

[‡] e-mail: zqleii@gmail.com

[§] e-mail: augusteaman5@yahoo.fr; auguste.aman@univ-cocody.ci

function. In addition, Pardoux and Răşcanu [18] proved the existence and uniqueness of the solution of BSDEs, on a random (possibly infinite) time interval, involving a subdifferential operator in order to give a probabilistic interpretation for the viscosity solution of some parabolic and elliptic variational inequalities. Its extension to the probabilistic interpretation of the viscosity solution of the parabolic variational inequality (PVI, for short) with a mixed nonlinear multivalued Neumann-Dirichlet boundary condition was recently given in Maticiuc and Răşcanu [15].

Another class of BSDEs, named backward doubly stochastic differential equations (BDSDEs, for short) involving a standard forward stochastic integral and a backward stochastic integral has been proposed by Pardoux and Peng [17]. They derive existence and uniqueness result under global Lipschitz assumptions on the coefficients and use it under stronger assumptions (coefficients are $C^3$) to give a probabilistic representation for a class of quasilinear stochastic partial differential equations (SPDEs, for short). Furthermore, Buckdahn and Ma [6, 7, 5] improve this representation introducing the viscosity solution of semi-linear SPDEs. This viscosity solution is been extended to semi-linear SPDE with a Neumann boundary in [2] by means of a class of generalized backward doubly stochastic differential equations (GBDSDEs, for short). On the other hand, Boufoussi and Mrhardy [3] derive the existence result to stochastic viscosity solution for some multivalued SPDE using it connection with BDSDEs whose coefficient contains the subdifferential of a convex function. More recently, the scalar GBDSDE with one-sided reflection which provides a probabilistic representation for the stochastic viscosity solution of an obstacle problem for a nonlinear stochastic parabolic PDE was considered by Aman and Mrhardy in [1].

Motivated by the above works, the purpose of the present paper is to consider the following generalized backward doubly stochastic differential equation, whose coefficient contains the subdifferential operators of two convex functions, also called generalized backward doubly stochastic variational inequality (BDSGVI, for short). Precisely, we have

$$\begin{cases} \mathrm{d}Y_t + f(t,Y_t,Z_t)\,\mathrm{d}t + g(t,Y_t)\,\mathrm{d}A_t + h(t,Y_t,Z_t)\,\mathrm{d}B_t \\ \qquad\qquad\qquad \in \partial\varphi(Y_t)\,\mathrm{d}t + \partial\psi(Y_t)\,\mathrm{d}A_t + Z_t\,\mathrm{d}W_t,\ 0 \le t \le T, \\ Y_T = \xi, \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

where $(A_t)_{t\geq 0}$ is a one-dimensional continuous increasing $\mathcal{F}_t$-progressively measurable process, $\partial\varphi$ and $\partial\psi$ are two subdifferential operators. The integral with respect to $\{B_t\}$ is a backward Kunita-Itô integral (see Kunita [13]) and this one with respect to $\{W_t\}$ is a standard forward Itô integral (see Gong [11]). It is actually a class of GBDSDEs, which involves two subdifferential operators of two convex functions. Let us recall that (1.2) has been studied, in the case $h = 0$, in [15].

We have two goals. First, under Lipschitz conditions on $f, g$ and $h$, we derive an existence and uniqueness result to BDSGVI (1.1) by means of the Yosida approximation. Next, we naturally establish the connection between solution of (1.1) and the stochastic viscosity solution of the following stochastic PVI (SPVI, for short) with a mixed nonlinear multivalued Neumann-Dirichlet boundary condition:

$$\begin{cases} \Big(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(t,x) + Lu(t,x) + f(t,x,u(t,x),(\nabla u\sigma)(t,x)) \\ \qquad\qquad - h(t,x,u(t,x))\dot{B}_t\Big) \in \partial\varphi(u(t,x)), \quad (t,x) \in [0,T]\times\Theta, \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial n}(t,x) + g(t,x,u(t,x)) \in \partial\psi(u(t,x)),\ (t,x) \in [0,T]\times Bd(\Theta), \\ u(T,x) = \chi(x),\ \ x \in \overline{\Theta}. \end{cases} \tag{1.2}$$

Here $\dot{B}$ denotes the white noise and, thus, indicates that the differential is to be understood in Itô's backward integral sense with respect to Brownian motion $B$ and $f$, $h$, $g$ and $\chi$ are some measurable functions with appropriate dimensions. Moreover, $L$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial n}$ denote the infinitesimal generator of some reflected diffusion process and are defined by

$$L = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i,j=1}^{d} (\sigma(x)\sigma^*(x))_{i,j}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} + \sum_{i=1}^{d} b_i(x)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}, \quad \forall x \in \Theta,$$

and

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial n} = \sum_{i=1}^{d} \frac{\partial \phi(x)}{\partial x_i}(x)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}, \quad \forall x \in \partial\Theta,$$

where the function $\phi$ is linked to a connected bounded domain $\Theta$ as defined in [14]. Let us mention that (1.2) is the generalization of the existence result (and not of the uniqueness) for the solution of the equation studied in [15], where $h = 0$ and $f, g$ are not random. As in [5] or [3], we shall define stochastic viscosity solution for SPVI (1.2) by using the notion of stochastic sub- and super-jets. But the novelty lies in adding Stieltjes integrals with respect the process $A$ which allows us to give representation formula (Feynman-Kac formula) for solution of the stochastic multivalued Neumann-Dirichlet problems. Therefore in our mind, the results of this article is a non trivial generalization of the work from [3] and hence one appear in [5].

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we give some preliminaries and notations. Section 3 is devoted to prove the existence and uniqueness of a solution for the BDSGVI (1.1). As application, we derive the notion of stochastic viscosity solution for a class of multivalued stochastic Dirichlet-Neumann problems and then prove its existence in the last section.

## 2 Preliminaries and notations

In the sequel, let $T > 0$ be a fixed terminal time, $\{B_t : t \in [0,T]\}$ and $\{W_t : t \in [0,T]\}$ be two independent $d$-dimensional Brownian motions ($d \geq 1$), defined on the complete probability $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ and $(\Omega', \mathcal{F}', \mathbb{P}')$ respectively, and $(A_t)_{t\geq 0}$ be a one-dimensional continuous increasing measurable stochastic process (m.s.p., for short). Let us consider the product space $(\bar{\Omega}, \bar{\mathcal{F}}, \bar{\mathbb{P}})$, where

$$\bar{\Omega} = \Omega \otimes \Omega', \ \bar{\mathcal{F}} = \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{F}', \ \bar{\mathbb{P}} = \mathbb{P} \otimes \mathbb{P}',$$

and let $\mathcal{N}$ denote the totality of $\bar{\mathbb{P}}$-null sets of $\bar{\mathcal{F}}$. For each $t \in [0,T]$, we define

$$\mathcal{F}_t = \mathcal{F}^B_{t,T} \vee \mathcal{F}^W_t \vee \mathcal{N},$$

where for any process $\{\eta_t\}, \mathcal{F}^\eta_{s,t} = \sigma\{\eta_r - \eta_s : s \leq r \leq t\}, \mathcal{F}^\eta_t = \mathcal{F}^\eta_{0,t}$. We note that $\mathbf{F} = \{\mathcal{F}_t,\, t \in [0,T]\}$ is neither increasing nor decreasing so that it does not constitute a filtration. Further, we assume that random variables $\xi(\omega),\ \omega \in \Omega$ and $\zeta(\omega'),\ \omega' \in \Omega'$ are considered as random variables on $\bar{\Omega}$ via the following identifications:

$$\xi(\omega, \omega') = \xi(\omega),\ \zeta(\omega, \omega') = \zeta(\omega').$$

In what follows, we will work under the following spaces as defined in [15]. For the positive constants $\lambda$ and $\mu$,

- $\mathcal{M}_k^{\lambda,\mu}$ denotes the space of $\mathbf{F}$-jointly measurable stochastic process (j.m.s.p, in short) $\gamma : \Omega \times [0,T] \to \mathbb{R}^k$ such that
$$\|\gamma\|_{\mathcal{M}}^2 = \mathbb{E}\int_0^T \mathrm{e}^{\lambda t+\mu A_t}|\gamma(t)|^2\,\mathrm{d}t < \infty.$$

- $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_k^{\lambda,\mu}$ denotes the space of j.m.s.p. $\gamma : \Omega \times [0,T] \to \mathbb{R}^k$ such that
$$\|\gamma\|_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}}^2 = \mathbb{E}\int_0^T \mathrm{e}^{\lambda t+\mu A_t}|\gamma(t)|^2\,\mathrm{d}A_t < \infty.$$

- $\mathcal{S}_k^{\lambda,\mu}$ denotes the space of continuous j.m.s.p. $\gamma : \Omega \times [0,T] \to \mathbb{R}^k$ such that
$$\|\gamma\|_{\mathcal{S}}^2 = \mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{0\le t\le T} \mathrm{e}^{\lambda t+\mu A_t}|\gamma(t)|^2\right) < \infty.$$

Now, we give the following assumptions:

(H1) The $\mathbf{F}^B$-adapted functions $f : [0,T]\times\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^k\times\mathbb{R}^{k\times d}\to\mathbb{R}^k$, $h : [0,T]\times\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^k\times\mathbb{R}^{k\times d}\to\mathbb{R}^{k\times d}$ and $g : [0,T]\times\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^k\to\mathbb{R}^k$ satisfy, for some constants $\beta_1,\ \beta_2\in\mathbb{R},\ K>0,\ 0<\alpha<1$, three $\mathbf{F}^B$-adapted processes $\{f_t, g_t, h_t : 0\le t\le T\}$, and for all $t\in[0,T], y, y'\in\mathbb{R}^k$ and $z, z'\in\mathbb{R}^{k\times d}$,

(i) $f(\cdot,\cdot,y,z),\ h(\cdot,\cdot,y,z)$ and $g(\cdot,\cdot,y)$ are p.m.s.p.;

(ii) $|f(t,y,z)| \le f_t + K(|y|+\|z\|),\quad \|h(t,y,z)\| \le h_t + K(|y|+\|z\|),\quad |g(t,y)| \le g_t + K|y|$;

(iii) $f_t, h_t \in \mathcal{M}_k^{\lambda,\mu}$ and $g_t \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}_k^{\lambda,\mu}$;

(iv) $\langle y-y', f(t,y,z)-f(t,y',z)\rangle \le \beta_1|y-y'|^2$;

(v) $|f(t,y,z)-f(t,y,z')| \le K\|z-z'\|$;

(vi) $\langle y-y', g(t,y)-g(t,y')\rangle \le \beta_2|y-y'|^2$;

(vii) $\|h(t,y,z)-h(t,y',z')\|^2 \le K|y-y'|^2+\alpha\|z-z'\|^2$;

(viii) $y\mapsto (f(t,y,z), h(t,y,z), g(t,y))$ is continuous for all $z, (t,\omega)$ a.e.

(H2) The two functions $\varphi$ and $\psi$ satisfy

(i) $\varphi, \psi : \mathbb{R}^k \to (-\infty,+\infty]$ are proper ($\neq\infty$), convex, and lower semi continuous (l.s.c., for short),

(ii) $\varphi(y)\ge\varphi(0)=0,\ \psi(y)\ge\psi(0)=0.$

(H3) The terminal value $\xi$ is an $\mathbb{R}^k$-valued $\mathcal{F}_T$-measurable random variable. Moreover we have the following: For each $\lambda>0, \mu>0$, satisfying $\lambda > 2+2(\beta_1+\beta_2)+\frac{K(3-\alpha+2K)}{1-\alpha}$ and $\mu > 1+2\beta_2$,
$$\Lambda := \mathbb{E}\left\{\mathrm{e}^{\lambda T+\mu A_T}\left(|\xi|^2+\varphi(\xi)+\psi(\xi)\right)+\int_0^T \mathrm{e}^{\lambda t+\mu A_t}\left[\left(|f_t|^2+|h_t|^2\right)\mathrm{d}t+|g_t|^2\,\mathrm{d}A_t\right]\right\} < \infty.$$

For $\theta$ equal to $\varphi$ or $\psi$, let us define

$$\mathrm{Dom}(\theta) = \left\{u \in \mathbb{R}^k : \theta(u) < +\infty\right\},$$

$$\partial\theta(u) = \{u^* \in \mathbb{R}^k : \langle u^*, v-u\rangle + \theta(u) \leq \theta(v), \text{ for all } v \in \mathbb{R}^k\},$$

$$\mathrm{Dom}(\partial\theta) = \{u \in \mathbb{R}^k : \partial\theta \neq \emptyset\},$$

$$(u,u^*) \in \partial\theta \Leftrightarrow u \in \mathrm{Dom}(\partial\theta), u^* \in \partial\theta(u).$$

It is well known that the subdifferential operator $\partial\theta$ is a maximal monotone operator, which means that

$$\langle u - u^*, v - v^*\rangle \geq 0, \;\; \forall\, (u,u^*), (v,v^*) \in \partial\theta.$$

*Remark* 2.1. Assumption (H2-*ii*) is not a restriction since we can replace $\varphi(u)$ (resp. $\psi(u)$) by $\varphi(u+u_0) - \varphi(u_0) - \langle u_0^*, u\rangle$ (resp. $\psi(u+u_0) - \psi(u_0) - \langle u_0^*, u\rangle$) where $(u_0; u_0^*) \in \partial\varphi$ (resp. $(u_0; u_0^*) \in \partial\psi$).

To end this section, let us introduce the following needed classical Yosida approximation of the subdifferential operator $\partial\theta$ equal to $\partial\varphi$ or $\partial\psi$. For $\varepsilon > 0$, we define (see [4] and the references therein)

$$\theta_\varepsilon(x) = \min_y \left(\frac{1}{2}|x-y|^2 + \varepsilon\theta(y)\right) = \frac{1}{2}\left|x - J_\varepsilon(x)\right|^2 + \varepsilon\theta(J_\varepsilon(x)),$$

where $J_\varepsilon(x) = (I + \varepsilon\partial\theta)^{-1}(x)$ is called the resolvent of the monotone operator $\partial\theta$. Next, on can show that

$$\nabla\theta_\varepsilon(x) = \frac{x - J_\varepsilon(x)}{\varepsilon},$$

and $x \mapsto \nabla\theta_\varepsilon(x)$ is a monotone Lipschitz function.
Now, let us give the compatibility assumptions, which appear for the first time in [15]:

(H4) (compatibility assumptions)
For all $y \in \mathbb{R}^k, z \in \mathbb{R}^{k\times d}, \varepsilon > 0$ and $0 \leq t \leq T$,

- (i) $\langle \nabla\varphi_\varepsilon(y), \nabla\psi_\varepsilon(y)\rangle \geq 0$;
- (ii) $\langle \nabla\varphi_\varepsilon(y), g(t,y)\rangle \leq \langle \nabla\psi_\varepsilon(y), g(t,y)\rangle^+$;
- (iii) $\langle \nabla\psi_\varepsilon(y), f(t,y,z)\rangle \leq \langle \nabla\varphi_\varepsilon(y), f(t,y,z)\rangle^+$, where $a^+ = \max\{0,a\}$.

Recall again that $\theta$ is equal to $\varphi$ or $\psi$, we have (see [4] or [18] ).

**Proposition 2.2.** (1) $\theta_\varepsilon$ *is a convex function with Lipschitz continuous derivatives;*

(2) *for all* $x \in \mathbb{R}^k, \nabla\theta_\varepsilon(x) = \partial\theta_\varepsilon(x) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon}(x - J_\varepsilon(x)) \in \partial\theta(J_\varepsilon(x))$;

(3) *for all* $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^k, |\nabla\theta_\varepsilon(x) - \nabla\theta_\varepsilon(y)| \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon}|x-y|$;

(4) *for all* $x,y\in\mathbb{R}^k$, $\langle \nabla\theta_\varepsilon(x)-\nabla\theta_\varepsilon(y), x-y\rangle \geq 0$;

(5) *for all* $x,y\in\mathbb{R}^k$ *and* $\varepsilon,\delta>0$, $\langle \nabla\theta_\varepsilon(x)-\nabla\theta_\delta(y), x-y\rangle \geq -(\varepsilon+\delta)\langle \nabla\theta_\varepsilon(x), \nabla\theta_\delta(y)\rangle$.

## 3 Existence and uniqueness result to BDSGVI

This section aims to derive the existence and uniqueness result to BDSGVI (1.1). They are obtained via Yosida approximations. First of all, let us introduce the adapted definition of solution from [15] to our BDSGVI.

**Definition 3.1.** The processes $(Y,U,V,Z)$ is called a solution of BDSGVI (1.1) if the following conditions are satisfied:

(1) $Y\in\mathcal{S}_k^{\lambda,\mu}\cap\mathcal{M}_k^{\lambda,\mu}\cap\overline{\mathcal{M}}_k^{\lambda,\mu}$, $Z\in\mathcal{M}_{k\times d}^{\lambda,\mu}$;

(2) $U\in\mathcal{M}_k^{\lambda,\mu}$, $V\in\overline{\mathcal{M}}_k^{\lambda,\mu}$;

(3) $\mathbb{E}\int_0^T e^{\lambda t+\mu A_t}\left[\varphi(Y_t)\,\mathrm{d}t+\psi(Y_t)\,\mathrm{d}A_t\right]<\infty$;

(4) $(Y_t,U_t)\in\partial\varphi$, $\mathrm{d}\overline{\mathbb{P}}\otimes\mathrm{d}t$, $(Y_t,V_t)\in\partial\psi$, $\mathrm{d}\overline{\mathbb{P}}\otimes dA_t(\overline{\omega})$-a.e. on $[0,T]$;

(5)
$$\begin{aligned} Y_t+\int_t^T U_s\,\mathrm{d}s+\int_t^T V_s\,\mathrm{d}A_s &= \xi+\int_t^T f(s,Y_s,Z_s)\,\mathrm{d}s+\int_t^T g(s,Y_s)\,\mathrm{d}A_s\\ &\quad+\int_t^T h(s,Y_s,Z_s)\,\mathrm{d}B_s-\int_t^T Z_s\,\mathrm{d}W_s,\ 0\leq t\leq T.\end{aligned}$$

Since our method is based on the Yosida approximations, let us consider the following GBDSDE:

$$\begin{aligned} Y_t^\varepsilon+\int_t^T \nabla\varphi_\varepsilon(Y_s^\varepsilon)\,\mathrm{d}s+\int_t^T \nabla\psi_\varepsilon(Y_s^\varepsilon)\,\mathrm{d}A_s &= \xi+\int_t^T f(s,Y_s^\varepsilon,Z_s^\varepsilon)\,\mathrm{d}s+\int_t^T g(s,Y_s^\varepsilon)\,\mathrm{d}A_s\\ &\quad+\int_t^T h(s,Y_s^\varepsilon,Z_s^\varepsilon)\,\mathrm{d}B_s-\int_t^T Z_s^\varepsilon\,\mathrm{d}W_s. \end{aligned}\tag{3.1}$$

Since $\nabla\varphi_\varepsilon$ and $\nabla\psi_\varepsilon$ are Lipschitz continuous, it is known from a recent result of Boufoussi et al. [2], that GBDSDE(3.1) has a unique solution $(Y^\varepsilon,Z^\varepsilon)\in\left(\mathcal{S}_k^{\lambda,\mu}\cap\mathcal{M}_k^{\lambda,\mu}\cap\overline{\mathcal{M}}_k^{\lambda,\mu}\right)\times\mathcal{M}_{k\times d}^{\lambda,\mu}$.

Setting
$$(U_t^\varepsilon,V_t^\varepsilon)=(\nabla\varphi_\varepsilon(Y_t^\varepsilon),\nabla\psi_\varepsilon(Y_t^\varepsilon)),\ 0\leq t\leq T,$$
we shall prove the convergence of the sequence $(Y^\varepsilon,U^\varepsilon,V^\varepsilon,Z^\varepsilon)$ to a process $(Y,U,V,Z)$, which is the desired solution of the BDSGVI (1.1).

The principal result of this section is the following theorem. We would like to point out that the proofs of Lemma 3.3, Lemma 3.4, Lemma 3.5 and Theorem 3.2 are generalizations of the results from [15]. For the reading convenience, we give the detailed calculations.

**Theorem 3.2.** *Assume the assumptions of* (H1)–(H4) *hold. Then, the BDSGVI* (1.1) *has a unique solution.*

In the sequel, $C>0$ is a constant which can change its value from line to line. Firstly, we give a prior estimates on the solution.

**Lemma 3.3.** *Assume the assumptions of* (H1)–(H3) *hold. Then, it holds that*

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{0\le t\le T} \mathrm{e}^{\lambda t+\mu A_t}|Y_t^\varepsilon|^2+\int_0^T \mathrm{e}^{\lambda t+\mu A_t}\left(|Y_t^\varepsilon|^2(\mathrm{d}t+\mathrm{d}A_t)+\|Z_t^\varepsilon\|^2\,\mathrm{d}t\right)\right]\le C. \tag{3.2}$$

*Proof.* Applying Itô's formula to $\mathrm{e}^{\lambda t+\mu A_t}|Y_t^\varepsilon|^2$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{e}^{\lambda t+\mu A_t}|Y_t^\varepsilon|^2+\int_t^T \mathrm{e}^{\lambda s+\mu A_s}|Y_s^\varepsilon|^2\,\mathrm{d}(\lambda s+\mu A_s)\\
&\qquad +2\int_t^T \mathrm{e}^{\lambda s+\mu A_s}\left[\langle Y_s^\varepsilon,\nabla\varphi_\varepsilon(Y_s^\varepsilon)\rangle\,\mathrm{d}s+\langle Y_s^\varepsilon,\nabla\psi_\varepsilon(Y_s^\varepsilon)\rangle\,\mathrm{d}A_s\right]+\int_t^T \mathrm{e}^{\lambda s+\mu A_s}\|Z_s^\varepsilon\|^2\,\mathrm{d}s\\
&= \mathrm{e}^{\lambda T+\mu A_T}|\xi|^2+2\int_t^T \mathrm{e}^{\lambda s+\mu A_s}\,\langle Y_s^\varepsilon, f(s,Y_s^\varepsilon,Z_s^\varepsilon)\rangle\,\mathrm{d}s+2\int_t^T \mathrm{e}^{\lambda s+\mu A_s}\,\langle Y_s^\varepsilon,g(s,Y_s^\varepsilon)\rangle\,\mathrm{d}A_s\\
&\qquad +2\int_t^T \mathrm{e}^{\lambda s+\mu A_s}\,\langle Y_s^\varepsilon,h(s,Y_s^\varepsilon,Z_s^\varepsilon)\,\mathrm{d}B_s\rangle+\int_t^T \mathrm{e}^{\lambda s+\mu A_s}\|h(s,Y_s^\varepsilon,Z_s^\varepsilon)\|^2\,\mathrm{d}s\\
&\qquad -2\int_t^T \mathrm{e}^{\lambda s+\mu A_s}\,\langle Y_s^\varepsilon,Z_s^\varepsilon\,\mathrm{d}W_s\rangle\,.
\end{aligned}$$

Using the elementary inequality $2ab\le\beta^2a^2+\frac{b^2}{\beta^2}$, for all $a,b\ge0$, and (H1), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
2\,\langle y,f(s,y,z)\rangle &= 2\,\langle y,f(s,y,z)-f(s,y,0)+f(s,y,0)-f(s,0,0)+f(s,0,0)\rangle\\
&\le 2\beta_1|y|^2+2K|y|\|z\|+|y|^2+|f(s,0,0)|^2\\
&\le \left(1+2\beta_1+\tfrac{K^2}{M}\right)|y|^2+M\|z\|^2+|f(s,0,0)|^2,\\
\|h(s,y,z)\|^2 &= \|h(s,y,z)-h(s,0,0)+h(s,0,0)\|^2\\
&\le \left(1+\tfrac{1}{\beta}\right)\|h(s,y,z)-h(s,0,0)\|^2+(1+\beta)\|h(s,0,0)\|^2\\
&\le \left(1+\tfrac{1}{\beta}\right)K|y|^2+(1+\beta)\|h(s,0,0)\|^2+\alpha\left(1+\tfrac{1}{\beta}\right)\|z\|^2,\\
2\,\langle y,g(s,y)\rangle &= 2\,\langle y,g(s,y)-g(s,0)+g(s,0)\rangle\\
&\le 2\beta_2|y|^2+2|y||g(s,0)|\\
&\le (2\beta_2+1)|y|^2+|g(s,0)|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Choosing $M=\frac{1-\alpha}{2},\beta=\frac{3\alpha}{1-\alpha}$ and using Proposition 2.2 (4), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}\mathrm{e}^{\lambda t+\mu A_t}|Y_t^\varepsilon|^2+\mathbb{E}\int_t^T\left(\lambda-2-2(\beta_1+\beta_2)-\frac{K(3-\alpha+2K)}{1-\alpha}\right)\mathrm{e}^{\lambda s+\mu A_s}|Y_s^\varepsilon|^2\,\mathrm{d}s\\
&+\mathbb{E}\int_t^T(\mu-2\beta_2-1)\,\mathrm{e}^{\lambda s+\mu A_s}|Y_s^\varepsilon|^2\,\mathrm{d}A_s+\frac{1-\alpha}{6}\mathbb{E}\int_t^T \mathrm{e}^{\lambda s+\mu A_s}\|Z_s^\varepsilon\|^2\,\mathrm{d}s\\
\le\;&\mathbb{E}\mathrm{e}^{\lambda T+\mu A_T}|\xi|^2+\mathbb{E}\int_t^T \mathrm{e}^{\lambda s+\mu A_s}\left[\left(|f(s,0,0)|^2+\left(1+\frac{1+2\alpha}{1-\alpha}\right)\|h(s,0,0)\|^2\right)\mathrm{d}s+|g(s,0)|^2\,\mathrm{d}A_s\right].
\end{aligned}$$

We show from (H3) that

$$\mathbb{E}e^{\lambda t+\mu A_t}|Y_t^\varepsilon|^2+\mathbb{E}\int_0^T e^{\lambda t+\mu A_t}\left[|Y_t^\varepsilon|^2(dt+dA_t)+\|Z_t^\varepsilon\|^2\,dt\right]\le C\Lambda. \tag{3.3}$$

Therefore, the lemma follows from (3.3) and Burkholder-Davis-Gundy's inequality. □

**Lemma 3.4.** *Assume the assumptions of* (H1)–(H4) *hold. Then, for all* $0\le t\le T$, *it holds that*

(i) $\mathbb{E}\int_0^T e^{\lambda t+\mu A_t}\left(|\nabla\varphi_\varepsilon(Y_t^\varepsilon)|^2\,dt+|\nabla\psi_\varepsilon(Y_t^\varepsilon)|^2\,dA_t\right)\le C\Lambda,$

(ii) $\mathbb{E}\int_0^T e^{\lambda t+\mu A_t}\left(\varphi(J_\varepsilon(Y_t^\varepsilon))\,dt+\psi(\bar{J}_\varepsilon(Y_t^\varepsilon))\,dA_t\right)\le C\Lambda,$

(iii) $\mathbb{E}e^{\lambda t+\mu A_t}\left(|Y_t^\varepsilon-J_\varepsilon(Y_t^\varepsilon)|^2+|Y_t^\varepsilon-\bar{J}_\varepsilon(Y_t^\varepsilon)|^2\right)\le\varepsilon C\Lambda,$

(iv) $\mathbb{E}e^{\lambda t+\mu A_t}\left(\varphi(J_\varepsilon(Y_t^\varepsilon))+\psi(\bar{J}_\varepsilon(Y_t^\varepsilon))\right)\le C\Lambda.$

*Proof.* Here, we adopt the same arguments appeared in Pardoux and Răşcanu [18]. Given an equidistant partition of interval $[t,T]$ such that $t=t_0<t_1<t_2<\cdots<t_n=T$ and $t_{i+1}-t_i=\frac{1}{n}$, the subdifferential inequality shows that

$$e^{\lambda t_{i+1}+\mu A_{t_{i+1}}}\varphi_\varepsilon(Y_{t_{i+1}}^\varepsilon)\ge\left(e^{\lambda t_{i+1}+\mu A_{t_{i+1}}}-e^{\lambda t_i+\mu A_{t_i}}\right)\varphi_\varepsilon(Y_{t_{i+1}}^\varepsilon)+e^{\lambda t_i+\mu A_{t_i}}\varphi_\varepsilon(Y_{t_i}^\varepsilon)+e^{\lambda t_i+\mu A_{t_i}}\left\langle\nabla\varphi_\varepsilon(Y_{t_i}^\varepsilon),Y_{t_{i+1}}^\varepsilon-Y_{t_i}^\varepsilon\right\rangle.$$

Summing up the above formula over $i$ and letting $n\to\infty$, we obtain

$$e^{\lambda T+\mu A_T}\varphi_\varepsilon(\xi)\ge e^{\lambda t+\mu A_t}\varphi_\varepsilon(Y_t^\varepsilon)+\int_t^T e^{\lambda s+\mu A_s}\langle\nabla\varphi_\varepsilon(Y_s^\varepsilon),dY_s^\varepsilon\rangle+\int_t^T\varphi_\varepsilon(Y_s^\varepsilon)\,d(e^{\lambda s+\mu A_s}).$$

From (3.1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&e^{\lambda t+\mu A_t}\left(\varphi_\varepsilon(Y_t^\varepsilon)+\psi_\varepsilon(Y_t^\varepsilon)\right)+\int_t^T e^{\lambda s+\mu A_s}|\nabla\varphi_\varepsilon(Y_s^\varepsilon)|^2\,ds+\int_t^T e^{\lambda s+\mu A_s}|\nabla\psi_\varepsilon(Y_s^\varepsilon)|^2\,dA_s\\
&+\int_t^T e^{\lambda s+\mu A_s}\langle\nabla\varphi_\varepsilon(Y_s^\varepsilon),\nabla\psi_\varepsilon(Y_s^\varepsilon)\rangle(ds+dA_s)+\int_t^T e^{\lambda s+\mu A_s}\left(\varphi_\varepsilon(Y_s^\varepsilon)+\psi_\varepsilon(Y_s^\varepsilon)\right)(\lambda\,ds+\mu\,dA_s)\\
\le\ &e^{\lambda T+\mu A_T}\left(\varphi_\varepsilon(\xi)+\psi_\varepsilon(\xi)\right)+\int_t^T e^{\lambda s+\mu A_s}\langle\nabla\varphi_\varepsilon(Y_s^\varepsilon),f(s,Y_s^\varepsilon,Z_s^\varepsilon)\rangle\,ds\\
&+\int_t^T e^{\lambda s+\mu A_s}\langle\nabla\psi_\varepsilon(Y_s^\varepsilon),f(s,Y_s^\varepsilon,Z_s^\varepsilon)\rangle\,ds+\int_t^T e^{\lambda s+\mu A_s}\langle\nabla\varphi_\varepsilon(Y_s^\varepsilon),g(s,Y_s^\varepsilon)\rangle\,dA_s\\
&+\int_t^T e^{\lambda s+\mu A_s}\langle\nabla\psi_\varepsilon(Y_s^\varepsilon),g(s,Y_s^\varepsilon)\rangle\,dA_s+\int_t^T e^{\lambda s+\mu A_s}\langle\nabla\varphi_\varepsilon(Y_s^\varepsilon)+\nabla\psi_\varepsilon(Y_s^\varepsilon),h(s,Y_s^\varepsilon,Z_s^\varepsilon)\,dB_s\rangle\\
&-\int_t^T e^{\lambda s+\mu A_s}\langle\nabla\varphi_\varepsilon(Y_s^\varepsilon)+\nabla\psi_\varepsilon(Y_s^\varepsilon),Z_s^\varepsilon\,dW_s\rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

The desired results can be derived from the following facts:

$\frac{1}{2\varepsilon}|y-J_\varepsilon(y)|^2\le\varphi_\varepsilon(y)\le\varphi(y),\ \frac{1}{2\varepsilon}|y-\bar{J}_\varepsilon(y)|^2\le\psi_\varepsilon(y)\le\psi(y),$

$\langle\nabla\varphi_\varepsilon,f(s,y,z)\rangle\le\frac{1}{4}|\nabla\varphi_\varepsilon|^2+12(f_t^2+K^2|y|^2+K^2\|z\|^2),$

$$\begin{aligned}&\langle \nabla\psi_\varepsilon, g(s,y)\rangle \le \frac{1}{4}|\nabla\psi_\varepsilon|^2 + 8(g_t^2 + K^2|y|^2),\\ &\langle \nabla\psi_\varepsilon, f(s,y,z)\rangle \le \langle \nabla\varphi_\varepsilon, f(s,y,z)\rangle^+ \le \frac{1}{4}|\nabla\varphi_\varepsilon|^2 + 12(f_t^2 + K^2|y|^2 + K^2\|z\|^2),\\ &\langle \nabla\varphi_\varepsilon, g(s,y)\rangle \le \langle \nabla\psi_\varepsilon, g(s,y)\rangle^+ \le \frac{1}{4}|\nabla\varphi_\varepsilon|^2 + 8(g_t^2 + K^2|y|^2 + K^2\|z\|^2).\end{aligned}$$

□

**Lemma 3.5.** *Assume the assumptions of* (H1)–(H3) *hold. Then, it holds that*

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{0\le t\le T} e^{\lambda t+\mu A_t}|Y_t^\varepsilon - Y_t^\delta|^2 + \int_0^T e^{\lambda t+\mu A_t}\left(|Y_t^\varepsilon - Y_t^\delta|^2(\mathrm{d}t + \mathrm{d}A_t) + \|Z_t^\varepsilon - Z_t^\delta\|^2\,\mathrm{d}t\right)\right] \le C(\varepsilon+\delta)\Lambda. \tag{3.4}$$

*Proof.* Applying Itô's formula to $e^{\lambda t+\mu A_t}|Y_t^\varepsilon - Y_t^\delta|^2$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&e^{\lambda t+\mu A_t}|Y_t^\varepsilon - Y_t^\delta|^2 + \int_t^T e^{\lambda s+\mu A_s}|Y_s^\varepsilon - Y_s^\delta|^2\,\mathrm{d}(\lambda s+\mu A_s) + \int_t^T e^{\lambda s+\mu A_s}\|Z_s^\varepsilon - Z_s^\delta\|^2\,\mathrm{d}s\\
= \;& 2\int_t^T e^{\lambda s+\mu A_s}\left\langle Y_s^\varepsilon - Y_s^\delta, f(s,Y_s^\varepsilon,Z_s^\varepsilon) - f(s,Y_s^\delta,Z_s^\delta)\right\rangle \mathrm{d}s\\
&+2\int_t^T e^{\lambda s+\mu A_s}\left\langle Y_s^\varepsilon - Y_s^\delta, g(s,Y_s^\varepsilon) - g(s,Y_s^\delta)\right\rangle \mathrm{d}A_s\\
&-2\int_t^T e^{\lambda s+\mu A_s}\left[\left\langle Y_s^\varepsilon - Y_s^\delta, \nabla\varphi_\varepsilon(Y_s^\varepsilon) - \nabla\varphi_\delta(Y_s^\delta)\right\rangle \mathrm{d}s + \left\langle Y_s^\varepsilon - Y_s^\delta, \nabla\psi_\varepsilon(Y_s^\varepsilon) - \nabla\psi_\delta(Y_s^\delta)\right\rangle \mathrm{d}A_s\right]\\
&+2\int_t^T e^{\lambda s+\mu A_s}\left\langle Y_s^\varepsilon - Y_s^\delta, \left(h(s,Y_s^\varepsilon,Z_s^\varepsilon) - h(s,Y_s^\delta,Z_s^\delta)\right)\mathrm{d}B_s\right\rangle\\
&+\int_t^T e^{\lambda s+\mu A_s}||h(s,Y_s^\varepsilon,Z_s^\varepsilon) - h(s,Y_s^\delta,Z_s^\delta)||^2\,\mathrm{d}s\\
&-2\int_t^T e^{\lambda s+\mu A_s}\left\langle Y_s^\varepsilon - Y_s^\delta, \left(Z_s^\varepsilon - Z_s^\delta\right)\mathrm{d}W_s\right\rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Using the elementary inequality $2ab \le \beta^2 a^2 + \frac{b^2}{\beta^2}$, for all $a, b \ge 0$, and (H1), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
2\left\langle Y_s^\varepsilon - Y_s^\delta, f(s,Y_s^\varepsilon,Z_s^\varepsilon) - f(s,Y_s^\delta,Z_s^\delta)\right\rangle &= 2\Big\langle Y_s^\varepsilon - Y_s^\delta, f(s,Y_s^\varepsilon,Z_s^\varepsilon) - f(s,Y_s^\varepsilon,Z_s^\delta)\\
&\qquad + f(s,Y_s^\varepsilon,Z_s^\delta) - f(s,Y_s^\delta,Z_s^\delta)\Big\rangle\\
&\le 2\beta_1|Y_s^\varepsilon - Y_s^\delta|^2 + 2K|Y_s^\varepsilon - Y_s^\delta|\|Z_s^\varepsilon - Z_s^\delta\|\\
&= \left(2\beta_1 + \frac{K^2}{M}\right)|Y_s^\varepsilon - Y_s^\delta|^2 + M\|Z_s^\varepsilon - Z_s^\delta\|^2,
\end{aligned}$$

$$||h(s,Y_s^\varepsilon,Z_s^\varepsilon) - h(s,Y_s^\delta,Z_s^\delta)||^2 \le K|Y_s^\varepsilon - Y_s^\delta|^2 + \alpha\|Z_s^\varepsilon - Z_s^\delta\|^2,$$

$$\left\langle Y_s^\varepsilon - Y_s^\delta, g(s,Y_s^\varepsilon) - g(s,Y_s^\delta)\right\rangle \le 2\beta_2|Y_s^\varepsilon - Y_s^\delta|^2.$$

Choosing $M=\frac{1-\alpha}{2}$ and noting Proposition 2.2 (5), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}\mathrm{e}^{\lambda t+\mu A_t}|Y_t^\varepsilon|^2+\mathbb{E}\int_t^T\left(\lambda-2\beta_2-\frac{2K^2}{1-\alpha}-K\right)\mathrm{e}^{\lambda s+\mu A_s}|Y_s^\varepsilon|^2\,\mathrm{d}s\\
&+\mathbb{E}\int_t^T(\mu-2\beta_2)\,\mathrm{e}^{\lambda s+\mu A_s}|Y_s^\varepsilon|^2\,\mathrm{d}A_s+\frac{1-\alpha}{2}\mathbb{E}\int_t^T\mathrm{e}^{\lambda s+\mu A_s}\|Z_s^\varepsilon\|^2\,\mathrm{d}s\\
\leq\;\; &2(\varepsilon+\delta)\mathbb{E}\int_t^T\mathrm{e}^{\lambda s+\mu A_s}\left[\left\langle\nabla\varphi_\varepsilon(Y_s^\varepsilon),\nabla\varphi_\delta(Y_s^\delta)\right\rangle\mathrm{d}s+\left\langle\nabla\psi_\varepsilon(Y_s^\varepsilon),\nabla\psi_\delta(Y_s^\delta)\right\rangle\mathrm{d}A_s\right].
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, the desired result follows from Lemma 3.4 (i) and Burkholder-Davis-Gundy's inequality. □

We now give the following:

*Proof of Theorem 3.2. Existence*
Lemma 3.5 shows that $(Y^\varepsilon,Z^\varepsilon)$ is a Cauchy sequence in $\left(\mathcal{S}_k^{\lambda,\mu}\cap\mathcal{M}_k^{\lambda,\mu}\cap\overline{\mathcal{M}}_k^{\lambda,\mu}\right)\times\mathcal{M}_{k\times d}^{\lambda,\mu}$. We denote its limit as $(Y,Z)$. Then, $(Y,Z)\in\left(\mathcal{S}_k^{\lambda,\mu}\cap\mathcal{M}_k^{\lambda,\mu}\cap\overline{\mathcal{M}}_k^{\lambda,\mu}\right)\times\mathcal{M}_{k\times d}^{\lambda,\mu}$. Lemma 3.4 shows that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to0}J_\varepsilon(Y^\varepsilon)=Y\text{ in }\mathcal{M}_k^{\lambda,\mu},\ \lim_{\varepsilon\to0}\overline{J}_\varepsilon(Y^\varepsilon)=Y\text{ in }\overline{\mathcal{M}}_k^{\lambda,\mu}$$

and for all $0\leq t\leq T$,

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to0}\mathbb{E}\mathrm{e}^{\lambda t+\mu A_t}\left[|J_\varepsilon(Y_t^\varepsilon)-Y_t|^2+|\overline{J}_\varepsilon(Y_t^\varepsilon)-Y_t|^2\right]=0.$$

Fatou's lemma, Lemma 3.4 and the fact that $\varphi$ and $\psi$ are l.s.c. show that (3) of Definition 3.1 is satisfied. In addition, from Lemma 3.4, we have

$$\mathbb{E}\int_0^T\mathrm{e}^{\lambda t+\mu A_t}\left(|U_t^\varepsilon|^2\,\mathrm{d}t+|V_t^\varepsilon|^2\,\mathrm{d}A_t\right)\leq C\Lambda,$$

which shows that $U_t^\varepsilon$ and $V_t^\varepsilon$ are bounded in the space $\mathcal{M}_k^{\lambda,\mu}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_k^{\lambda,\mu}$ respectively. So, there exists a subsequence $\varepsilon_n\to0$ such that

$$U^{\varepsilon_n}\rightharpoonup U,\text{ weakly in the space }\mathcal{M}_k^{\lambda,\mu},$$

$$V^{\varepsilon_n}\rightharpoonup V,\text{ weakly in the space }\overline{\mathcal{M}}_k^{\lambda,\mu}.$$

Furthermore, we get

$$\mathbb{E}\int_0^T\mathrm{e}^{\lambda t+\mu A_t}\left(|U_t|^2\,\mathrm{d}t+|V_t|^2\,\mathrm{d}A_t\right)\leq\liminf_{n\to\infty}\mathbb{E}\int_0^T\mathrm{e}^{\lambda t+\mu A_t}\left(|U_t^{\varepsilon_n}|^2\,\mathrm{d}t+|V_t^{\varepsilon_n}|^2\,\mathrm{d}A_t\right)\leq C\Lambda.$$

Thus, the process $(Y,U,V,Z)$ satisfies (5) of Definition 3.1 by passing limit in (3.1).

Finally, we show that (4) of Definition 3.1 is satisfied. For all $0\leq t\leq T$, since $U_t^\varepsilon\in\partial\varphi(J_\varepsilon(Y_t^\varepsilon))$ and $V_t^\varepsilon\in\partial\psi(\overline{J}_\varepsilon(Y_t^\varepsilon))$, it follows that

$$\mathrm{e}^{\lambda t+\mu A_t}\left\langle U_t^\varepsilon,U_t-J_\varepsilon(Y_t^\varepsilon)\right\rangle+\mathrm{e}^{\lambda t+\mu A_t}\varphi(J_\varepsilon(Y_t^\varepsilon))\leq\mathrm{e}^{\lambda t+\mu A_t}\varphi(U_t),\ \mathrm{d}\mathbb{P}\times\mathrm{d}t\text{-a.e.},$$

and

$$\mathrm{e}^{\lambda t+\mu A_t}\left\langle V_t^{\varepsilon}, V_t-\bar{J}_{\varepsilon}(Y_t^{\varepsilon})\right\rangle+\mathrm{e}^{\lambda t+\mu A_t}\psi(\bar{J}_{\varepsilon}(Y_t^{\varepsilon}))\leq \mathrm{e}^{\lambda t+\mu A_t}\varphi(V_t),\ \mathrm{d}\mathbb{P}\times A(\omega,\mathrm{d}t)\text{-a.e.}$$

Taking the $\liminf$ in the above two inequalities, $(4)$ of Definition 3.1 holds.

*Uniqueness*

Let $(Y_t,U_t,V_t,Z_t)_{0\leq t\leq T}$ and $(Y_t',U_t',V_t',Z_t')_{0\leq t\leq T}$ be two solutions of the BDSGVI (1.1). Denote

$$(\triangle Y_t,\triangle U_t,\triangle V_t,\triangle Z_t)_{0\leq t\leq T}=(Y_t-Y_t',U_t-U_t',V_t-V_t',Z_t-Z_t')_{0\leq t\leq T}.$$

Applying Itô's formula to $\mathrm{e}^{\lambda t+\mu A_t}|\triangle Y_t|^2$ shows that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}\mathrm{e}^{\lambda t+\mu A_t}|\triangle Y_t|^2+2\mathbb{E}\int_t^T \mathrm{e}^{\lambda s+\mu A_s}\langle\triangle U_s,\triangle Y_s\rangle\,\mathrm{d}s+2\mathbb{E}\int_t^T \mathrm{e}^{\lambda s+\mu A_s}\langle\triangle V_s,\triangle Y_s\rangle\,\mathrm{d}A_s\\
&\qquad+\mathbb{E}\int_t^T \mathrm{e}^{\lambda s+\mu A_s}|\triangle Y_s|^2(\lambda\,\mathrm{d}s+\mu\,\mathrm{d}A_s)+\mathbb{E}\int_t^T \mathrm{e}^{\lambda s+\mu A_s}\|\triangle Z_s\|^2\,\mathrm{d}s\\
&= 2\mathbb{E}\int_t^T \mathrm{e}^{\lambda s+\mu A_s}\left\langle\triangle Y_s, f(s,Y_s,Z_s)-f(s,Y_s',Z_s')\right\rangle\mathrm{d}s\\
&\quad+2\mathbb{E}\int_t^T \mathrm{e}^{\lambda s+\mu A_s}\left\langle\triangle Y_s, g(s,Y_s)-g(s,Y_s')\right\rangle\mathrm{d}A_s\\
&\quad+\mathbb{E}\int_t^T \mathrm{e}^{\lambda s+\mu A_s}\|h(s,Y_s,Z_s)-h(s,Y_s',Z_s')\|^2\,\mathrm{d}s. \qquad (3.5)
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\partial\varphi$ and $\partial\psi$ are monotone, we obtain

$$\langle\triangle U_s,\triangle Y_s\rangle\geq 0,\ \mathrm{d}\mathbb{P}\times\mathrm{d}t\text{-a.e.},\ \langle\triangle V_s,\triangle Y_s\rangle\geq 0,\ \mathrm{d}\mathbb{P}\times A(\omega,\mathrm{d}t)\text{-a.e.}$$

Thus, as the same procedure as Lemma 3.5, we can show the uniqueness of the solution. □

## 4 Stochastic viscosity solutions of SPVI with a mixed nonlinear Neumann-Dirichlet boundary condition

In this section, we consider the one-dimensional equation, i.e. $k=1$. We will investigate the BDSGVI studied in the previous section in order to give the existence of the stochastic viscosity solution of a class of SPVI with a mixed nonlinear Neumann-Dirichlet boundary condition. For this, we need some additional hypotheses and tools.

### 4.1 Notion of stochastic viscosity solution of SPVI with a mixed nonlinear Neumann-Dirichlet boundary condition

With the same notations as in Section 2, let $\mathbf{F}^B=\{\mathcal{F}_{t,T}^B\}_{0\leq t\leq T}$ be the filtration generated by $B$, where $B$ is a one-dimensional Brownian motion. By $\mathcal{M}_{0,T}^B$, we denote all the $\mathbf{F}^B$-stopping times $\tau$ such $0\leq\tau\leq T$, a.s. $\mathcal{M}_\infty^B$ is the set of all almost surely finite $\mathbf{F}^B$-stopping times. For generic Euclidean spaces $E$ and $E_1$, we introduce the following spaces:

1. The symbol $\mathcal{C}^{k,n}([0,T]\times E;E_1)$ stands for the space of all $E_1$-valued functions defined on $[0,T]\times E$ which are $k$-times continuously differentiable in $t$ and $n$-times continuously differentiable in $x$, and $\mathcal{C}_b^{k,n}([0,T]\times E;E_1)$ denotes the subspace of $\mathcal{C}^{k,n}([0,T]\times E;E_1)$ in which all functions have uniformly bounded partial derivatives.

2. For any sub-$\sigma$-field $\mathcal{G}\subseteq\mathcal{F}_T^B$, $\mathcal{C}^{k,n}(\mathcal{G},[0,T]\times E;E_1)$ (resp. $\mathcal{C}_b^{k,n}(\mathcal{G},[0,T]\times E;E_1)$) denotes the space of all $\mathcal{C}^{k,n}([0,T]\times E;E_1)$ (resp. $\mathcal{C}_b^{k,n}([0,T]\times E;E_1)$-valued random variable that are $\mathcal{G}\otimes\mathcal{B}([0,T]\times E)$-measurable;

3. $\mathcal{C}^{k,n}(\mathbf{F}^B,[0,T]\times E;E_1)$ (resp.$\mathcal{C}_b^{k,n}(\mathbf{F}^B,[0,T]\times E;E_1)$) is the space of all random fields $\phi\in\mathcal{C}^{k,n}(\mathcal{F}_T,[0,T]\times E;E_1$ (resp. $\mathcal{C}^{k,n}(\mathcal{F}_T,[0,T]\times E;E_1)$, such that for fixed $x\in E$ and $t\in[0,T]$, the mapping $\omega\to\alpha(t,\omega,x)$ is $\mathbf{F}^B$-progressively measurable.

4. For any sub-$\sigma$-field $\mathcal{G}\subseteq\mathcal{F}^B$ and a real number $p\geq 0$, let $L^p(\mathcal{G};E)$ be a set of all $E$-valued, $\mathcal{G}$-measurable random variable $\xi$ such that $\mathbb{E}|\xi|^p<\infty$.

Furthermore, regardless of the dimension, we denote by $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ and $|\cdot|$ the inner product and norm in $E$ and $E_1$, respectively. For $(t,x,y)\in[0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^d\times\mathbb{R}$, we denote $D_x=(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1},\ldots,\frac{\partial}{\partial x_d})$, $D_{xx}=(\partial^2_{x_ix_j})_{i,j=1}^d$, $D_y=\frac{\partial}{\partial y}$, $D_t=\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$. The meaning of $D_{xy}$ and $D_{yy}$ is then self-explanatory.

Let $\Theta$ be an open connected and smooth bounded domain of $\mathbb{R}^d$ $(d\geq 1)$ such that for a function $\phi\in\mathcal{C}_b^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $\Theta$ and its boundary $\partial\Theta$ are characterized by $\Theta=\{\phi>0\}$, $\partial\Theta=\{\phi=0\}$ and, for any $x\in\partial\Theta$, $\nabla\phi(x)$ is the unit normal vector pointing towards the interior of $\Theta$. In this section, we consider the continuous coefficients $b$, $\sigma$, $l$, $f$, $\phi$ and $h$

$$\begin{array}{rcl} f & : & \Omega\times[0,T]\times\overline{\Theta}\times\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^d\to\mathbb{R} \\ g & : & \Omega\times[0,T]\times\overline{\Theta}\times\mathbb{R}\to\mathbb{R} \\ \sigma & : & \mathbb{R}^d\to\mathbb{R}^{d\times d} \text{ and } b:\mathbb{R}^d\to\mathbb{R}^d \\ \chi & : & \overline{\Theta}\to\mathbb{R}, \end{array}$$

satisfy that

$$\text{(H5)}\ \begin{cases} |f(t,x,y,z)|\leq K(1+|x|+|y|+\|z\|), \\ |g(t,x,y)|\leq K(1+|x|+|y|), \\ |\chi(x)|+|\varphi(\chi(x))|+|\psi(\chi(x))|\leq K(1+|x|). \end{cases}$$

$$\text{(H6)}\ \begin{cases} |b(x)-b(x')|+\|\sigma(x)-\sigma(x')\|\leq c|x-x'|, \\ |f(t,x,y,z)-f(t,x,y',z')|\leq c(|y-y'|+\|z-z'\|), \\ \langle y-y',g(t,x,y)-g(t,x,y')\rangle\leq\beta|y-y'|^2. \end{cases}$$

(H7) The function $h\in\mathcal{C}_b^{0,2,3}([0,T]\times\overline{\Theta}\times\mathbb{R};\mathbb{R})$.

Let us consider the following SPVI with mixed nonlinear Neumann-Dirichlet boundary condition:

$$\mathcal{SPVI}^{(f,g,h,\chi,\varphi,\psi)}\begin{cases}\text{(i) } \left(\dfrac{\partial u(t,x)}{\partial t}+[Lu(t,x)+f(t,x,u(t,x),\sigma^*(x)D_xu(t,x))]\right.\\ \qquad\qquad \left.-h(t,x,u(t,x))\dot{B}_s\right)\in\partial\varphi,\ \ (t,x)\in[0,T]\times\Theta,\\ \text{(ii) } \dfrac{\partial u}{\partial n}(t,x)+g(t,x,u(t,x))\in\partial\psi,\ \ (t,x)\in[0,T]\times\partial\Theta,\\ \text{(iii) } u(T,x)=\chi(x),\quad x\in\overline{\Theta},\end{cases}\tag{4.1}$$

where

$$L=\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i,j=1}^{d}(\sigma(x)\sigma^*(x))_{i,j}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i\partial x_j}+\sum_{i=1}^{d}b_i(x)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i},\quad \forall x\in\Theta,\tag{4.2}$$

and

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial n}=\sum_{i=1}^{d}\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x_i}(x)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i},\quad \forall x\in\partial\Theta.$$

We first define the mean of stochastic viscosity solution to $\mathcal{SPVI}^{(f,g,h,\chi,\varphi,\psi)}$ (4.1). As mentioned in introduction, our notion of stochastic viscosity solution uses the stochastic sub- and super-jets introduced by Buckdahn and Ma [5]. Next, the existence result will be derived by the use of the well-known Doss-Sussman transformation. In this fact, let us recall the statement appeared in [5].

**Definition 4.1.** Let $\tau\in\mathcal{M}^B_{0,T}$, and $\xi\in\mathcal{F}_\tau$. We say that a sequence of random variables $(\tau_k,\xi_k)$ is a $(\tau,\xi)$-approximating sequence if for all $k$, $(\tau_k,\xi_k)\in\mathcal{M}^B_\infty\times L^2(\mathcal{F}_\tau,\Theta)$ such that

(i) $\xi_k\to\xi$ in probability;

(ii) either $\tau_k\uparrow\tau$ a.s., and $\tau_k<\tau$ on the set $\{\tau>0\}$; or $\tau_k\downarrow\tau$ a.s., and $\tau_k>\tau$ on the set $\{\tau<T\}$.

**Definition 4.2.** Let $(\tau,\xi)\in\mathcal{M}^B_{0,T}\times L^2\left(\mathcal{F}^B_\tau;\Theta\right)$ and $u\in\mathcal{C}\left(\mathbf{F}^B,[0,T]\times\overline{\Theta}\right)$. A triplet of $(a,p,X)$ is called a stochastic $h$-superjet of $u$ at $(\tau,\xi)$ if the following terms hold:

(i) $(a,b,c,p,q,X)$ is an $\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^d\times\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathcal{S}(n)$-valued, $\mathcal{F}^B_\tau$-measurable random vector, where $\mathcal{S}(n)$ is the set of all symmetric $n\times n$ matrix.

(ii) Denoting

$$\begin{cases}b=g(\tau,\xi,u(\tau,\xi)),\quad c=(g\partial_u g)(\tau,\xi,u(\tau,\xi))\\ q=\partial_x g(\tau,\xi,u(\tau,\xi))+\partial_u g(\tau,\xi,u(\tau,\xi))p.\end{cases}$$

Then, for all $(\tau,\xi)$-approximating sequence $(\tau_k,\xi_k)$, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned}
u(\tau_k,\xi_k) \quad \leq \quad & u(\tau,\xi)+a(\tau_k-\tau)+b(B_{\tau_k}-B_\tau)+\frac{c}{2}(B_{\tau_k}-B_\tau)^2+\langle p,\xi_k-\xi\rangle \\
& +\langle q,\xi_k-\xi\rangle(B_{\tau_k}-B_\tau)+\frac{1}{2}\langle X(\xi_k-\xi),\xi_k-\xi\rangle \\
& +o(|\tau_k-\tau|)+o(|\xi_k-\xi|^2).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

Next, $\mathcal{J}_h^{1,2,+}u(\tau,\xi)$ denotes the set of all stochastic $h$-superjet of $u$ at $(\tau,\xi)$. Similarly, the triplet of $(a,p,X)$ is a stochastic $h$-subjet of $u$ at $(\tau,\xi)$ if $(i)$ and $(ii)$ hold and the inequality in (4.9) is reversed. Moreover, $\mathcal{J}_h^{1,2,-}u(\tau,\xi)$ denotes the set of all stochastic $h$-subjet of $u$ at $(\tau,\xi)$.

*Remark* 4.3. For $\theta$ equal to $\varphi$ or $\psi$, we emphasize that $\partial\theta(y)=[\theta'_l(y),\theta'_r(y)]$, for every $y\in \mathrm{Dom}(\theta)$ where $\theta'_l(y)$ and $\theta'_r(y)$ denote the left and right derivatives of $\theta$.

Now, we define the stochastic viscosity solution of $\mathcal{SPVI}^{(f,g,h,\chi,\varphi,\psi)}$ (4.1). In order to simplify the presentation, we set

$$V_f(\tau,\xi,a,p,X)=-a-\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Trace}(\sigma\sigma^*(\xi)X)-\langle p,b(\xi)\rangle-f(\tau,\xi,u(\tau,\xi),\sigma^*(\xi)p).$$

**Definition 4.4.** A random field $u\in\mathcal{C}\left(\mathbf{F}^B,[0,T]\times\overline{\Theta}\right)$ which satisfies that $u(T,x)=\chi(x)$, for all $x\in\overline{\Theta}$, is called a stochastic viscosity subsolution of $\mathcal{SPVI}^{(f,g,h,\chi,\varphi,\psi)}$ (4.1) if

$$\begin{aligned}
u(\tau,\xi) &\in \mathrm{Dom}(\varphi), \quad \forall\,(\tau,\xi)\in\mathcal{M}_{0,T}^B\times L^2\left(\mathcal{F}_\tau^B;\Theta\right), \quad \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.},\\
u(\tau,\xi) &\in \mathrm{Dom}(\psi), \quad \forall\,(\tau,\xi)\in\mathcal{M}_{0,T}^B\times L^2\left(\mathcal{F}_\tau^B;\partial\Theta\right), \quad \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.},
\end{aligned}$$

and at any $(\tau,\xi)\in\mathcal{M}_{0,T}^B\times L^2\left(\mathcal{F}_\tau^B;\Theta\right)$, for any $(a,p,X)\in\mathcal{J}_h^{1,2,+}u(\tau,\xi)$, it hold $\mathbb{P}$-a.s.

(a) on the event $\{0<\tau<T\}\cap\{\xi\in\Theta\}$

$$V_f(\tau,\xi,a,p,X)+\varphi'_l(u(\tau,\xi)-\frac{1}{2}(h\partial_u h)(\tau,\xi,u(\tau,\xi))\leq 0; \tag{4.4}$$

(b) on the event $\{0<\tau<T\}\cap\{\xi\in\partial\Theta\}$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\min\Big(V_f(\tau,\xi,a,p,X)+\varphi'_l(u(\tau,\xi)-\frac{1}{2}(h\partial_u h)(\tau,\xi,u(\tau,\xi)),\\
&\qquad\qquad \langle\nabla\phi(\xi),p\rangle-g(\tau,\xi,u(\tau,\xi))+\psi'_l(u(\tau,\xi)\Big)\leq 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

A random field $u\in\mathcal{C}\left(\mathbf{F}^B,[0,T]\times\overline{\Theta}\right)$ which satisfies that $u(T,x)=\chi(x)$, for all $x\in\overline{\Theta}$, is called a stochastic viscosity supersolution of $\mathcal{SPVI}^{(f,g,h,\chi,\varphi,\psi)}$ (4.1) if

$$\begin{aligned}
u(\tau,\xi) &\in \mathrm{Dom}(\varphi), \quad \forall\,(\tau,\xi)\in\mathcal{M}_{0,T}^B\times L^2\left(\mathcal{F}_\tau^B;\Theta\right), \quad \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.},\\
u(\tau,\xi) &\in \mathrm{Dom}(\psi), \quad \forall\,(\tau,\xi)\in\mathcal{M}_{0,T}^B\times L^2\left(\mathcal{F}_\tau^B;\partial\Theta\right), \quad \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.},
\end{aligned}$$

and at any $(\tau,\xi)\in\mathcal{M}_{0,T}^B\times L^2\left(\mathcal{F}_\tau^B;\Theta\right)$, for any $(a,p,X)\in\mathcal{J}_h^{1,2,-}u(\tau,\xi)$, it hold $\mathbb{P}$-a.s.

(a) on the event $\{0 < \tau < T\} \cap \{\xi \in \Theta\}$

$$V_f(\tau,\xi,a,p,X) + \varphi_r'(u(\tau,\xi) - \frac{1}{2}(g\partial_u g)(\tau,\xi,u(\tau,\xi)) \geq 0; \tag{4.6}$$

(b) on the event $\{0 < \tau < T\} \cap \{\xi \in \partial\Theta\}$

$$\max\left(V_f(\tau,\xi,a,p,X) + \varphi_r'(u(\tau,\xi) - \frac{1}{2}(h\partial_u h)(\tau,\xi,u(\tau,\xi)), \langle \nabla\phi(\xi), p\rangle - g(\tau,\xi,u(\tau,\xi))\right) \geq 0. \tag{4.7}$$

Finally, a random field $u \in \mathcal{C}\left(\mathbf{F}^B, [0,T] \times \overline{\Theta}\right)$ is called a stochastic viscosity solution of $\mathcal{SPVI}^{(f,g,h,\chi,\varphi,\psi)}$ (4.1) if it is both a stochastic viscosity subsolution and a stochastic viscosity supersolution.

*Remark* 4.5. Observe that if $f$ and $g$ are deterministic and $h \equiv 0$, Definition 4.4 coincides with the definition of (deterministic) viscosity solution of PVI given by Maticiuc and Răşcanu in [15].

To end this section, we state the notion of random viscosity solution which will be a bridge link to the stochastic viscosity solution and its deterministic counterpart.

**Definition 4.6.** A random field $u \in C(\mathbf{F}^B, [0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ is called an ω-wise viscosity solution if for $\mathbb{P}$-almost all $\omega \in \Omega$, $u(\omega,\cdot,\cdot)$ is a (deterministic) viscosity solution of $\mathcal{SPVI}^{(f,g,0,\chi,\varphi,\psi)}$.

### 4.2 Doss-Sussmann transformation

In this section, using the Doss-Sussman transformation, our next goal is to establish the existence of the stochastic viscosity solution to $\mathcal{SPVI}^{(f,g,h,\chi,\varphi,\psi)}$ (4.1) by means of backward doubly stochastic generalized variational inequality.

As shown by the work of Buckdahn and Ma [6, 7], the Doss transformation will depend heavily on the following stochastic flow $\eta \in C(\mathbf{F}^B, [0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R})$, defined as the unique solution of the following stochastic differential equation in the Stratonovich sense:

$$\eta(t,x,y) \quad = \quad y + \int_t^T \langle h(s,x,\eta(s,x,y)), \circ dB_s\rangle. \tag{4.8}$$

We refer the reader to their paper [6] for a lucid discussion on this topic. We also note that due the direction of backward Itô integral, (4.8) should be viewed as going from $T$ to $t$ (i.e $y$ should be understood as the initial value). Under the assumption $(H7)$, the mapping $y \mapsto \eta(t,x,y)$ defines a diffeomorphism for all $(t,x)$, $\mathbb{P}$-a.s. (see Protter [21]). Let us denote its $y$-inverse by $\varepsilon(t,x,y)$. Then, one can show that $\varepsilon(t,x,y)$ is the solution to the following first-order SPDE:

$$\varepsilon(t,x,y) = y - \int_t^T \langle D_y\varepsilon(s,x,y),\, h(s,x,\eta(s,x,y)) \circ dB_s\rangle.$$

Let us recall the following important proposition appeared in [3] (see Lemma 4.8).

**Proposition 4.7.** *Assume that the assumptions* $(H1)$–$(H7)$ *hold. Let* $(\tau,\xi)\in\mathcal{M}^B_{0,T}\times L^2\left(\mathcal{F}^B_\tau;\Theta\right)$, $u\in\mathcal{C}\left(\mathbf{F}^B,[0,T]\times\overline{\Theta}\right)$ *and* $(a_u,X_u,p_u)\in\mathcal{J}_h^{1,2,+}u(\tau,\xi)$. *Define* $v(\cdot,\cdot)=\varepsilon(\cdot,\cdot,u(\cdot,\cdot))$. *Then, for any* $(\tau,\xi)$*-approximating sequence* $(\tau_k,\xi_k)$, *and for* $\mathbb{P}$*-a.e.* $\omega$, *it holds that*

$$\begin{aligned} v(\tau_k,\xi_k) \quad \leq \quad & v(\tau,\xi)+a_v(\tau_k-\tau)+b_v(B_{\tau_k}-B_\tau)+\langle p_v,\xi_k-\xi\rangle \\ & +\langle q_v,\xi_k-\xi\rangle(B_{\tau_k}-B_\tau)+\frac{1}{2}\langle X_v(\xi_k-\xi),\xi_k-\xi\rangle \\ & +o(|\tau_k-\tau|)+o(|\xi_k-\xi|^2). \end{aligned}$$

*where*

$$\begin{cases} a_v=D_y\varepsilon(\tau,\xi,u(\tau,\xi))a_u \\ \\ p_v=D_y\varepsilon(\tau,\xi,u(\tau,\xi))p_u+D_x\varepsilon(\tau,\xi,u(\tau,\xi)) \\ \\ X_v=D_y\varepsilon(\tau,\xi,u(\tau,\xi))X_u+2D_{xy}\varepsilon(\tau,\xi,u(\tau,\xi))p_u^*+D_{xx}\varepsilon(\tau,\xi,u(\tau,\xi))+D_{yy}\varepsilon(\tau,\xi,u(\tau,\xi))p_up_u^* \end{cases}$$

*Namely,* $(a_v,X_v,p_v)\in\mathcal{J}_0^{1,2,+}v(\tau,\xi)$

*Conversely, let* $(\tau,\xi)\in\mathcal{M}^B_{0,T}\times L^2\left(\mathcal{F}^B_\tau;\Theta\right)$, $v\in\mathcal{C}\left(\mathbf{F}^B,[0,T]\times\overline{\Theta}\right)$ *and* $(a_v,X_v,p_v)\in\mathcal{J}_0^{1,2,+}v(\tau,\xi)$. *Define* $u(\cdot,\cdot)=\eta(\cdot,\cdot,v(\cdot,\cdot))$. *Then, the triplet* $(a_u,X_u,p_u)$ *given by*

$$\begin{cases} a_u=D_y\eta(\tau,\xi,v(\tau,\xi))a_v \\ \\ p_u=D_y\eta(\tau,\xi,v(\tau,\xi))p_v+D_x\eta(\tau,\xi,v(\tau,\xi)) \\ \\ X_u=D_y\eta(\tau,\xi,v(\tau,\xi))X_v+2D_{xy}\eta(\tau,\xi,v(\tau,\xi))p_v^*+D_{xx}\eta(\tau,\xi,v(\tau,\xi))+D_{yy}\eta(\tau,\xi,v(\tau,\xi))p_vp_v^* \end{cases}$$

*satisfies* $(a_u,X_u,p_u)\in\mathcal{J}_h^{1,2,+}u(\tau,\xi)$.

Following the key ideas of Buckdahn and Ma, our aim is to convert a SPVI to a PVI with random coefficients with the Doss-Sussman transformation so that the stochastic viscosity solution can be studied $\omega$-wisely. However, our resulting equation from $\mathcal{SPVI}^{(f,g,h,\chi,\varphi,\psi)}$ (4.1) due to Doss-Sussman transformation is not necessarily the PVI studied by Maticiuc and Răşcanu in [15]. Therefore, we will need the following version of Doss-Sussman transformation.

**Corollary 4.8.** *Assume that the assumptions* $(H1)$–$(H7)$ *hold. Let* $(\tau,\xi)\in\mathcal{M}^B_{0,T}\times L^2\left(\mathcal{F}^B_\tau;\Theta\right)$, $u\in\mathcal{C}\left(\mathbf{F}^B,[0,T]\times\overline{\Theta}\right)$ *and define* $v(\cdot,\cdot)=\varepsilon(\cdot,\cdot,u(\cdot,\cdot))$.

*If* $(a_u,X_u,p_u)\in\mathcal{J}_h^{1,2,+}u(\tau,\xi)$, *then* $u$ *satisfies* (4.4) *and* (4.5) *if and only if* $v(\cdot,\cdot)$ *satisfies that*

(a) *on the event* $\{0<\tau<T\}\cap\{\xi\in\Theta\}$

$$V_{\tilde{f}}(\tau,\xi,a_v,p_v,X_v)+\frac{\varphi_l'(\eta(\tau,\xi,v(\tau,\xi))}{D_y\eta(\tau,\xi,v(\tau,\xi))}\leq 0; \tag{4.9}$$

(b) *on the event* $\{0<\tau<T\}\cap\{\xi\in\partial\Theta\}$

$$\min\Bigg(V_{\widetilde{f}}(\tau,\xi,a_v,p_v,X_v)+\frac{\varphi_l'(\eta(\tau,\xi,v(\tau,\xi))}{D_y\eta(\tau,\xi,v(\tau,\xi))},$$

$$\langle\nabla\phi(\xi),p_v\rangle-\widetilde{g}(\tau,\xi,u(\tau,\xi))+\frac{\psi_l'(\eta(\tau,\xi,v(\tau,\xi))}{D_y\eta(\tau,\xi,v(\tau,\xi))}\Bigg)\leq 0, \tag{4.10}$$

*where* $(a_v,p_v,X_v)$ *appear in Proposition 4.7 and functions* $\widetilde{f}$ *and* $\widetilde{g}$ *will be defined in the proof.*

*If* $(a_u,X_u,p_u)\in\mathcal{J}_h^{1,2,-}u(\tau,\xi)$*, then* $u$ *satisfies* (4.6) *and* (4.7) *if and only if* $v(\cdot,\cdot)$ *satisfies that*

(a) *on the event* $\{0<\tau<T\}\cap\{\xi\in\Theta\}$

$$V_{\widetilde{f}}(\tau,\xi,a_v,p_v,X_v)+\frac{\varphi_l'(\eta(\tau,\xi,v(\tau,\xi))}{D_y\eta(\tau,\xi,v(\tau,\xi))}\geq 0; \tag{4.11}$$

(b) *on the event* $\{0<\tau<T\}\cap\{\xi\in\partial\Theta\}$

$$\max\Bigg(V_{\widetilde{f}}(\tau,\xi,a_v,p_v,X_v)+\frac{\varphi_l'(\eta(\tau,\xi,v(\tau,\xi))}{D_y\eta(\tau,\xi,v(\tau,\xi))},$$

$$\langle\nabla\phi(\xi),p_v\rangle-\widetilde{g}(\tau,\xi,u(\tau,\xi))+\frac{\psi_l'(\eta(\tau,\xi,v(\tau,\xi))}{D_y\eta(\tau,\xi,v(\tau,\xi))}\Bigg)\geq 0, \tag{4.12}$$

*Proof.* Let $(\tau,\xi)\in\mathcal{M}_{0,T}^B\times L^2\left(\mathcal{F}_\tau^B;\Theta\right)$ be given and $(a_u,p_u,X_u)\in\mathcal{J}_h^{1,2,+}u(\tau,\xi)$. We assume that $u$ is a stochastic subsolution of $\mathcal{SPVI}^{(f,g,h,\chi,\varphi,\psi)}$ (4.1), which means that

$$\begin{array}{rcll} u(\tau,\xi) & \in & \mathrm{Dom}(\varphi), & \forall\,(\tau,\xi)\in\mathcal{M}_{0,T}^B\times L^2\left(\mathcal{F}_\tau^B;\Theta\right),\ \ \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.},\\ u(\tau,\xi) & \in & \mathrm{Dom}(\psi), & \forall\,(\tau,\xi)\in\mathcal{M}_{0,T}^B\times L^2\left(\mathcal{F}_\tau^B;\partial\Theta\right),\ \ \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.,}\end{array}$$

and at any $(\tau,\xi)\in\mathcal{M}_{0,T}^B\times L^2\left(\mathcal{F}_\tau^B;\Theta\right)$, it holds $\mathbb{P}$-a.s.

(a) on the event $\{0<\tau<T\}\cap\{\xi\in\Theta\}$

$$V_f(\tau,\xi,a_u,p_u,X_u)+\varphi_l'(u(\tau,\xi)-\frac{1}{2}(h\partial_u h)(\tau,\xi,u(\tau,\xi))\leq 0;$$

(b) on the event $\{0<\tau<T\}\cap\{\xi\in\partial\Theta\}$

$$\min\Big(V_f(\tau,\xi,a_u,p_u,X_u)+\varphi_l'(u(\tau,\xi)-\frac{1}{2}(h\partial_u h)(\tau,\xi,u(\tau,\xi)),$$

$$\langle\nabla\phi(\xi),p_u\rangle-g(\tau,\xi,u(\tau,\xi))+\psi_l'(u(\tau,\xi))\Big)\leq 0.$$

Then, according to Proposition 4.7, there exist $(a_v, p_v, X_v) \in \mathcal{J}_0^{1,2,+} v(\tau,\xi)$, such that on the event $\{0<\tau<T\}\cap\{\xi\in\Theta\}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&-D_y\eta(\tau,\xi,v(\tau,\xi))a_v - D_y\eta(\tau,\xi,v(\tau,\xi))\frac{1}{2}Tr(\sigma\sigma^*(\xi)X_v)\\
&-\frac{1}{2}Tr(\sigma\sigma^*(\xi)D_{xx}\eta(\tau,\xi,v(\tau,\xi)) - \frac{1}{2}D_{yy}\eta(\tau,\xi,v(\tau,\xi))|\sigma^*(\xi)p_v|^2\\
&-\langle\sigma^*(\xi)D_{xy}\eta(\tau,\xi,v(\tau,\xi)),\sigma^*(\xi)p_v\rangle - \langle D_x\eta(\tau,\xi,v(\tau,\xi)),b(\xi)\rangle\\
&-f(\tau,\xi,\eta(\tau,\xi,v(\tau,\xi)),\sigma^*(\xi)D_x\eta(\tau,\xi,v(\tau,\xi))+D_y\eta(\tau,\xi,v(\tau,\xi))\sigma^*(\xi)p_v)\\
&-\langle D_y\eta(\tau,\xi,v(\tau,\xi))p_v,b(\xi)\rangle\\
\leq\;&-\varphi_l'(\eta(\tau,\xi,v(\tau,\xi)))+\frac{1}{2}(h\partial h)(\tau,\xi,\eta(\tau,\xi,v(\tau,\xi))).
\end{aligned}$$

Since $D_y\eta(t,x,y)>0$, $\forall\,(t,x,y)$ we define the random field $\widetilde{f}$ by

$$\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{f}(t,x,y,z) \;=\; & \frac{1}{D_y\eta(t,x,y)}\Bigg[f(t,x,\eta(t,x,y),\sigma^*(x)D_x\eta(t,x,y)+D_y\eta(t,x,y)z)-\frac{1}{2}(h\partial_u h)(t,x,\eta(t,x,y))\\
&+L_x\eta(t,x,y)+\langle\sigma^*(x)D_{xy}\eta(t,x,y),z\rangle+\frac{1}{2}D_{yy}\eta(t,x,y)|z|^2\Bigg].
\end{aligned}$$

We obtain

$$V_{\widetilde{f}}(\tau,\xi,a_v,p_v,X_v)+\frac{\varphi_l'(\eta(\tau,\xi,v(\tau,\xi)))}{D_y\eta(\tau,\xi,v(\tau,\xi))}\leq 0$$

and on the event $\{0<\tau<T\}\cap\{\xi\in\partial\Theta\}$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle\nabla\phi(\xi),p_u\rangle - g(\tau,\xi,u(\tau,\xi))+\psi_l'(u(\tau,\xi))\\
&\quad= \langle\nabla\phi(\xi),D_y\eta(\tau,\xi,v(\tau,\xi))p_v\rangle+\langle\nabla\phi(\xi),D_x\eta(\tau,\xi,v(\tau,\xi))\rangle\\
&\qquad -g(\tau,\xi,\eta(\tau,\xi,v(\tau,\xi)))+\psi_l'(\eta(\tau,\xi,v(\tau,\xi)))\\
&\quad= D_y\eta(\tau,\xi,v(\tau,\xi))\langle\nabla\phi(\xi),p_v\rangle\\
&\qquad -D_y\eta(\tau,\xi,v(\tau,\xi))\widetilde{g}(\tau,\xi,\eta(\tau,\xi,v(\tau,\xi))),
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\widetilde{g}(t,x,y)=\frac{1}{D_y\eta(t,x,y)}(g(t,x,y)-\langle\nabla\phi(\xi),D_x\eta(t,x,y)\rangle).$$

Recall again that $D_y\eta(t,x,y)>0$, $\forall\,(t,x,y)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\min\Bigg(&V_{\widetilde{f}}(\tau,\xi,a_v,p_v,X_v)+\frac{\varphi_l'(\eta(\tau,\xi,v(\tau,\xi)))}{D_y\eta(\tau,\xi,v(\tau,\xi))},\langle\nabla\phi(\xi),D_x\eta(\tau,\xi,v(\tau,\xi)p_v)\rangle\\
&-\widetilde{g}(\tau,\xi,v(\tau,\xi))+\frac{\psi_l'(\eta(\tau,\xi,v(\tau,\xi)))}{D_y\eta(\tau,\xi,v(\tau,\xi))}\Bigg)\quad\leq\quad 0.
\end{aligned}$$

□

# 5 Probabilistic representation result for stochastic viscosity solution to SPVI

The main objective of this section is to show how a semi-linear SPVI with Neumann-Dirichlet condition associated to the coefficients $(f, h, g, \chi, \varphi, \psi)$ is related to BDSGVI (1.1) in the Markov framework.

We now introduce a class of reflected diffusion processes. Let us recall $\Theta$ be an open connected bounded subset of $\mathbb{R}^d$, which is such that for a function $\phi \in C_b^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $\Theta = \{\phi > 0\}$, $\partial\Theta = \{\phi = 0\}$. It follows from the results in Lions, Sznitman [6] (see also Saisho [10]) that for each $(t,x) \in [0,T] \times \overline{\Theta}$ there exists a unique pair of $\mathbf{F}^W$ progressively measurable continuous processes $\{X_s^{t,x}, A_s^{t,x}; s \geq 0\}$, with values in $\overline{\Theta} \times \mathbb{R}_+$, such that :

$$X_s^{t,x} = x + \int_t^{s\vee t} b\left(X_r^{t,x}\right) \mathrm{d}r + \int_t^{s\vee t} \sigma\left(X_r^{t,x}\right) \mathrm{d}W_r + \int_t^{s\vee t} \nabla\phi\left(X_r^{t,x}\right) \mathrm{d}A_r^{t,x}, \quad \forall s \in [0,T]. \tag{5.1}$$

Let notice that the above assumptions imply that there exists a constant $\alpha > 0$ such that for any $x \in \partial\Theta$, $x' \in \Theta$

$$|x - x'|^2 + \alpha\langle x' - x, \phi(x)\rangle \geq 0. \tag{5.2}$$

We have

**Proposition 5.1.** *There exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for all $0 \leq t < t' \leq T$ and $x, x' \in \overline{\Theta}$, the following inequalities hold: for any $p > 4$*

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{0\leq s\leq T}\left|X_s^{t,x} - X_s^{t',x'}\right|^p\right] \leq C\left[|t' - t|^{p/2} + |x - x'|^p\right] \tag{5.3}$$

*and*

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{0\leq s\leq T}\left|A_s^{t,x} - A_s^{t',x'}\right|^p\right] \leq C\left[|t' - t|^{p/2} + |x - x'|^p\right]. \tag{5.4}$$

*Moreover, for all $p \geq 1$, there exists a positive constant $C_p$ such that for all $(t,x) \in [0,T] \times \overline{\Theta}$,*

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\left|A_s^{t,x}\right|^p\right) \leq C_p(1 + t^p) \tag{5.5}$$

*and for each $\mu$, $t < s < T$, there exists a positive constant $C(\mu,t)$ such that for all $x \in \overline{\Theta}$,*

$$\mathbb{E}\left(e^{\mu A_s^{t,x}}\right) \leq C(\mu,t). \tag{5.6}$$

*Proof.* This proof follows the similar argument used in [19]. We apply Itô's formula to the semi-martingale

$$\exp\left[-\frac{p}{\alpha}\left(\phi(X_s^{t,x}) + \phi(X_s^{t',x'})\right)\right]\left|X_s^{t,x} - X_s^{t',x'}\right|^p.$$

Hence exploit the inequality (5.2) and standard SDE estimates we obtain

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{0\leq s\leq T}|X_s^{t,x} - X_s^{t',x'}|^p\right) \leq C\left(|t - t'|^{p/2} + |x - x'|^p + \mathbb{E}\int_0^T |X_s^{t,x} - X_s^{t',x'}|^p ds\right).$$

Then inequality (5.3) follows from Gronwall's lemma. Next, by Itô formula we have

$$A_s^{t,x} = \phi(X_s^{t,x} - \phi(x) - \int_t^{t\vee s} L\phi(X_r^{t,x} dr - \int_t^{t\vee s} \nabla\phi(X_r^{t,x}\sigma(X_r^{t,x})dW_r,$$

where $L$ is defined by (4.2). From this identity and inequality (5.3), we deduce easily the the inequalities (5.4) and (5.5). ☐

Under assumptions $(H1)$–$(H7)$, it follows from Theorem 3.2 that, for all $(t,x) \in [0,T] \times \overline{\Theta}$, there exists a unique triplet $(Y^{t,x}, Z^{t,x}, U^{t,x}, V^{t,x})$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} Y_s^{t,x} + \int_s^T U_r^{t,x}\,\mathrm{d}r + \int_s^T V_r^{t,x}\,\mathrm{d}A_r^{t,x} &= \chi(X_T^{t,x}) + \int_s^T f(r, X_r^{t,x}, Y_r^{t,x}, Z_r^{t,x})\,\mathrm{d}r + \int_s^T g(r, X_r^{t,x}, Y_r^{t,x})\,\mathrm{d}A_r^{t,x} \\ &\quad + \int_s^T h(r, X_r^{t,x}, Y_r^{t,x})\,\mathrm{d}B_r - \int_s^T Z_r^{t,x}\,\mathrm{d}W_r,\ t \le s \le T; \end{aligned} \tag{5.7}$$

and

$$(Y_s^{t,x}, U_s^{t,x}) \in \partial\varphi,\ \mathrm{d}\overline{\mathbb{P}} \otimes \mathrm{d}s,\ (Y_s^{t,x}, V_s^{t,x}) \in \partial\psi,\ \mathrm{d}\overline{\mathbb{P}} \otimes dA(\overline{\omega})_s\text{-a.e. on } [t,T]. \tag{5.8}$$

We extend processes $Y^{t,x}$, $Z^{t,x}$, $U^{t,x}$, $V^{t,x}$ on $[0,T]$ by putting

$$Y_s^{t,x} = Y_t^{t,x},\ Z_s^{t,x} = 0,\ U_s^{t,x} = 0,\ V_s^{t,x} = 0,\ \ s \in [0,t].$$

The following regularity result generalizes the Kolmogorov continuity criterion to BDSGVI:

**Proposition 5.2.** *Let the ordered triplet* $(Y_s^{t,x}, U_s^{t,x}, V_s^{t,x}, Z_s^{t,x})$ *be the unique solution of the BDSGVI* (5.7). *Then, the random field* $(s,t,x) \mapsto Y_s^{t,x}$, $(s,t,x) \in [0,T] \times [0,T] \times \overline{\Theta}$, *is a.s. continuous.*

*Proof.* Let $(t,x)$ and $(t',x')$ be two elements of $[0,T] \times \overline{\Theta}$. It follows from Itô formula applied to $|Y_s^{t,x} - Y_s^{t',x'}|^p$ with $p > 4$ combined with the arguments used in [3] (see Proposition 3.5) and [2] (see Proposition 4.3) that, for $0 \le s \le T$,

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{0\le s\le T}\left|Y_s^{t,x} - Y_s^{t',x'}\right|^p\right) \le C\left[\mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{0\le s\le T}\left|X_s^{t,x} - X_s^{t',x'}\right|^p\right) + \left(\mathbb{E}\sup_{0\le s\le T}|A_s^{t,x} - A_s^{t',x'}|^p\right)^{1/2}\right].$$

Next, using Proposition 5.1 one can derive

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{0\le s\le T}\left|Y_s^{t,x} - Y_s^{t',x'}\right|^p\right) \le C(|t-t'|^{p/2} + |x-x'|^p + |t-t'|^{p/4} + |x-x'|^{p/2}).$$

Therefore, il suffice to choose $p = \gamma$ convenably to get

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{0\le s\le T}\left|Y_s^{t,x} - Y_s^{t',x'}\right|^\gamma\right) \le C(|t-t'|^{1+\beta} + |x-x'|^{d+\delta}).$$

We conclude from the last estimate, using Kolmogorov's lemma, that $\{Y_s^{t,x}, s,t \in [0,T], x \in \overline{\Theta}\}$ has an a.s. continuous version. ☐

Let us define

$$u(t,x) = Y_t^{t,x} \tag{5.9}$$

which is random field such that $u(t,x)$ is $\mathcal{F}_{t,T}^B$-measurable for each $(t,x) \in [0,T] \times \overline{\Theta}$.

We are now ready to derive the main result of this section.

**Theorem 5.3.** *Let the assumptions* $(H1)$–$(H7)$ *be satisfied. Then, the function* $u(t,x)$ *defined above is a stochastic viscosity solution of* $\mathcal{SPVI}^{(f,g,h,\chi,\varphi,\psi)}$ (4.1).

*Proof.* First, since $u(t,x) = Y_t^{t,x}$, it follows from Proposition 5.2 that $u \in C(\mathcal{F}^B, [0,T] \times \overline{\Theta})$. Thus it remains to show that $u$ is the stochastic viscosity solution to $\mathcal{SPVI}^{(f,g,h,\chi,\varphi,\psi)}$. In other word, using Corollary 4.8, it suffices to prove that $v(t,x) = \varepsilon(t,x,u(t,x))$ satisfies (4.9)–(4.10) and (4.11)–(4.12). In this fact, we are going to use the Yosida approximation of (5.7), which was studied in Section 3. For each $(t,x) \in [0,T] \times \overline{\Theta}$, $\delta > 0$, let $\{(Y_s^{t,x,\delta}, Z_s^{t,x,\delta}),\ 0 \le s \le T\}$ denote the solution of the following GBDSDE:

$$\begin{aligned} & Y_s^{t,x,\delta} + \int_s^T \nabla\varphi_\delta(Y_r^{t,x,\delta})\,\mathrm{d}r + \int_s^T \nabla\psi_\delta(Y_r^{t,x,\delta})\,\mathrm{d}A_r \\ = \;& \chi(X_T^{t,x}) + \int_s^T f(r, X_r^{t,x}, Y_r^{t,x,\delta}, Z_r^{t,x,\delta})\,\mathrm{d}r + \int_s^T g(r, X_r^{t,x}, Y_r^{t,x,\delta})\,\mathrm{d}A_r \\ & + \int_s^T h(r, X_r^{t,x}, Y_r^{t,x,\delta})\,\mathrm{d}B_r - \int_s^T Z_r^{t,x,\delta}\,\mathrm{d}W_r,\ t \le s \le T. \end{aligned} \tag{5.10}$$

Define $Y_t^{t,x,\delta} = u^\delta(t,x)$, it is well known (see Theorem 4.7, [2]) that the function $v^\delta(t,x) = \varepsilon(t,x,u^\delta(t,x))$ is an $\omega$-wise viscosity solution to the following SPDE with nonlinear Dirichlet-Neumann boundary condition

$$\begin{cases} \text{(i)}\ \left(\dfrac{\partial v^\delta}{\partial t}(t,x) - \Big[Lv^\delta(t,x) + \widetilde{f}_\delta(t,x,v^\delta(t,x), \sigma^*(x)\nabla v^\delta(t,x))\Big]\right) = 0,\ \ (t,x) \in [0,T] \times \Theta, \\[2ex] \text{(ii)}\ \dfrac{\partial v^\delta}{\partial n}(t,x) + \widetilde{g}_\delta(t,x,v^\delta(t,x)) = 0,\ \ (t,x) \in [0,T] \times \partial\Theta, \\[2ex] \text{(iii)}\ v(T,x) = \chi(x), \quad x \in \overline{\Theta}, \end{cases} \tag{5.11}$$

where

$$\widetilde{f}_\delta(t,x,y,z) = \widetilde{f}(t,x,y,z) - \frac{\nabla\varphi_\delta(\eta(t,x,y))}{D_y\eta(t,x,y)} \ \text{ and } \ \widetilde{g}_\delta(t,x,y) = \widetilde{g}(t,x,y) - \frac{\nabla\psi_\delta(\eta(t,x,y))}{D_y\eta(t,x,y)}.$$

However from the results of the previous section, one can proved with no more difficulties (it suffice to show that $\mathbb{E}\left[\sup\limits_{t \le s \le T} \sup\limits_{x \in \overline{\Theta}} |, Y_s^{t,x,\varepsilon} - Y_s^{t,x,\delta}|^2\right] \le C(\varepsilon + \delta)$) that, for each $(t,x) \in [0,T]$, along a subsequence, $v^\delta(t,x)$ converge to $v(t,x)$ almost surely as $\delta$ goes to 0. Moreover, since $v^\delta$ and $v$ are continuous, it follows from Dini's theorem that the above convergence is uniform on $t$ on compact.

Let $\omega \in \Omega$ be fixed such

$$|v^{\delta}(\tau(\omega),\xi(\omega)) - v(\tau(\omega),\xi(\omega))| \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad \delta \to 0,$$

and consider $(a_v, p_v, X_v) \in \mathcal{J}_0^{1,2,+}(v(\tau(\omega),\xi(\omega)))$. Then, it follows from Crandall- Ishii-Lions [8] that there exist sequences

$$\begin{cases} \delta_n(\omega) \searrow 0, \\ (\tau_n(\omega),\xi_n(\omega)) \in [0,T] \times \overline{\Theta}, \\ (a_v^n, p_v^n, X_v^n) \in \mathcal{J}_0^{1,2,+}(v^{\delta_n}(\tau_n(\omega),\xi_n(\omega))) \end{cases}$$

such that

$$(\tau_n(\omega),\xi_n(\omega),a_v^n,p_v^n,X_v^n,v^{\delta_n}(\tau_n(\omega),\xi_n(\omega))) \to (\tau(\omega),\xi(\omega),a_v,p_v,X_v,v(\tau(\omega),\xi(\omega))), \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

Since $v^{\delta_n}(\omega,\cdot,\cdot)$ is a (deterministic) viscosity solution to the PDE $(\widetilde{f}_{\delta_n}(\omega,\cdot,\cdot,\cdot),0,\widetilde{g}_{\delta_n}(\omega,\cdot,\cdot),\chi)$, we obtain

(a) $(\tau_n(\omega),\xi_n(\omega)) \in [0,T] \times \Theta$

$$V_{\widetilde{f}_{\delta_n}(\omega)}(\tau_n(\omega),\xi_n(\omega),a_v^n,X_v^n,p_v^n) + \frac{\nabla\varphi_{\delta_n}(\eta(\tau_n(\omega),\xi_n(\omega),v^{\delta_n}(\tau_n(\omega),\xi_n(\omega))))}{D_y\eta(\tau_n(\omega),\xi_n(\omega),v^{\delta_n}(\tau_n(\omega),\xi_n(\omega)))} \leq 0, \tag{5.12}$$

(b) $(\tau_n(\omega),\xi_n(\omega)) \in [0,T] \times \partial\Theta$

$$\min\Bigg( V_{\widetilde{f}_{\delta_n}(\omega)}(\tau_n(\omega),\xi_n(\omega),a_v^n,X_v^n,p_v^n) + \frac{\nabla\varphi_{\delta_n}(\eta(\tau_n(\omega),\xi_n(\omega),v^{\delta_n}(\tau_n(\omega),\xi_n(\omega))))}{D_y\eta(\tau_n(\omega),\xi_n(\omega),v^{\delta_n}(\tau_n(\omega),\xi_n(\omega)))},$$

$$\langle\nabla\phi(\xi_n),D_x\eta(\tau_n(\omega),\xi_n(\omega),v^{\delta_n}(\tau_n(\omega),\xi_n(\omega))p_v^n)\rangle - \widetilde{g}_{\delta_n}(\omega)(\tau_n(\omega),\xi_n(\omega),v^{\delta_n}(\tau_n(\omega),\xi_n(\omega)))$$

$$+\frac{\nabla\psi_{\delta^n}(\eta(\tau_n(\omega),\xi_n(\omega),v^{\delta_n}(\tau_n(\omega),\xi_n(\omega))))}{D_y\eta(\tau_n(\omega),\xi_n(\omega),v^{\delta_n}(\tau_n(\omega),\xi_n(\omega)))}\Bigg) \leq 0. \tag{5.13}$$

To simplify the notation, we remove the dependence of $\omega$ in the sequel. Let $y \in \mathrm{Dom}(\varphi) \cap \mathrm{Dom}(\psi)$ such that $y \leq u(\tau,\xi) = \eta(\tau,\xi,v(\tau,\xi))$. The ucp convergence $v^{\delta_n} \to v$ implies that there exists $n_0 > 0$ such that $y < \eta(\tau,\xi,v(\tau,\xi)), \ \forall n \geq n_0$. Therefore, from (5.12) and (5.13), it follows that

$$(\eta(\tau,\xi,v(\tau,\xi)) - y)V_{\widetilde{f}}(\tau_n,\xi_n,a_v,X_v,p_v)$$

$$\leq \Big[-\varphi_\delta(J_{\delta_n}(\eta(\tau,\xi,v^{\delta_n}(\tau,\xi)))) + \varphi(y)\Big]\frac{1}{D_y\eta(\tau_n,\xi_n,v^{\delta_n}(\tau_n,\xi_n))},$$

and

$$\min\Bigg((\eta(\tau,\xi,v(\tau,\xi)) - y)V_{\widetilde{f}}(\tau_n,\xi_n,a_v,X_v,p_v) + \frac{\varphi_\delta(J_\delta(\eta(\tau,\xi,v^{\delta_n}(\tau,\xi)))) - \varphi(y)}{D_y\eta(\tau,\xi,v^{\delta_n}(\tau,\xi))},$$

$$(\eta(\tau,\xi,v(\tau,\xi)) - y)\Big[\langle\nabla\phi(\xi),D_x\eta(\tau_n(\omega),\xi_n,v^{\delta_n}(\tau,\xi_n)p_v^n)\rangle - \widetilde{g}(\tau_n,\xi_n,v^{\delta_n}(\tau_n,\xi_n))\Big]$$

$$
+\frac{\psi_\delta(\bar{J}_\delta(\eta(\tau,\xi,v^{\delta_n}(\tau,\xi)))) - \psi(y)}{D_y\eta(\tau,\xi,v^{\delta_n}(\tau,\xi))}\Bigg) \leq 0.
$$

Taking the limit in this last inequality, for all $y \leq \eta(\tau,\xi,v(\tau,\xi))$, we get

$$
(V_{\widetilde{f}}(\tau,\xi,a_v,X_v,p_v) \leq -\frac{\varphi(\eta(\tau,\xi,v(\tau,\xi))) - \varphi(y)}{\eta(\tau,\xi,v(\tau,\xi)) - y)}\frac{1}{D_y\eta(\tau,\xi,v(\tau,\xi))},
$$

and

$$
\min\Bigg(V_{\widetilde{f}}(\tau,\xi,a_v,X_v,p_v) + \frac{\varphi(\eta(\tau,\xi,v(\tau,\xi))) - \varphi(y)}{(\eta(\tau,\xi,v(\tau,\xi)) - y)D_y\eta(\tau,\xi,v(\tau,\xi))},
$$

$$
[\langle\nabla\phi(\xi), D_x\eta(\tau,\xi,v(\tau,\xi)p_v)\rangle - \widetilde{g}(\tau,\xi,v(\tau,\xi))] + \frac{\psi(\eta(\tau(\omega),\xi,v(\tau,\xi))) - \psi(y)}{(\eta(\tau,\xi,v(\tau,\xi)) - y)D_y\eta(\tau(\omega),\xi,v(\tau,\xi))}\Bigg) \leq 0,
$$

which implies that

$$
(V_{\widetilde{f}}(\tau,\xi,a_v,X_v,p_v) + \frac{\varphi_l'(\eta(\tau,\xi,v(\tau,\xi)))}{D_y\eta(\tau,\xi,v(\tau,\xi))} \leq 0,
$$

and

$$
\min\Bigg(V_{\widetilde{f}}(\tau,\xi,a_v,X_v,p_v) + \frac{\varphi_l'(\eta(\tau,\xi,v(\tau,\xi)))}{D_y\eta(\tau,\xi,v(\tau,\xi))},
$$

$$
\langle\nabla\phi(\xi), D_x\eta(\tau,\xi,v(\tau,\xi)p_v)\rangle - \widetilde{g}(\tau,\xi,v(\tau,\xi)) + \frac{\psi_l'(\eta(\tau,\xi,v(\tau,\xi)))}{D_y\eta(\tau,\xi,v(\tau,\xi))}\Bigg) \leq 0,
$$

and yields that $v$ satisfies (4.9) and (4.10). Then, it follows from Corollary 4.8 that $u$ is a stochastic viscosity subsolution of $\mathcal{SPVI}^{(f,g,h,\chi,\varphi,\psi)}$ (4.1). By similar arguments, one can prove that $u$ is a stochastic viscosity supersolution of $\mathcal{SPVI}^{(f,g,h,\chi,\varphi,\psi)}$ (4.1) and completes the proof. □

**Acknowledgements** The authors wish to thank the two anonymous referees and the associate editor for their valuable comments, correcting errors and improving written language.

## References

[1] A. Aman and N. Mrhardy, Obstacle problem for SPDE with nonlinear Neumann boundary condition via reflected generalized backward doubly SDEs, submited (2010)

[2] B. Boufoussi, J. Van castern and N. Mrhardy, Generalized backward doubly stochastic differential equations and SPDEs with nonlinear Neumann boundary conditions, Bernoulli 13 (2007) 423–446

[3] B. Boufoussi and N. Mrhardy, Multivalued stochastic partial differential equations via backward doubly stochastic differential equations, Stoch. Dyn., 8 (2008) 271–294

[4] H. Brezis, Opéateurs maximaux monotones, Mathematics studies, North Holland, 1973

[5] R. Buckdahn and J. Ma, Pathwise stochastic Taylor expansions and stochastic viscosity solutions for fully nonlinear stochastic PDEs, Ann. Probab. 30 (2002) 1131–1171

[6] R. Buckdahn and J. Ma, Stochastic viscosity solutions for nonlinear stochastic partial differential equations (Part II), Stochastic Process. Appl. 93 (2001) 205–228

[7] R. Buckdahn and J. Ma, Stochastic viscosity solutions for nonlinear stochastic partial differential equations (Part I), Stochastic Process. Appl. 93 (2001) 181–204

[8] M. Crandall, H. Ishii and P.L. Lions, User's guide to the viscosity solutions of second order partial differential equations, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 27 (1992) 1–67

[9] N. El Karoui, S. Peng and M.-C. Quenez, Backward stochastic differential equations and applications in finance, Math. Finance 7 (1997) 1–71

[10] A. Gegout-Petit and E. Pardoux, Equations différentielles stochastiques rétrogrades refléchies dans un convexe, Stochastics Stochastics Rep. 57 (1996) 111–128

[11] G. Gong, An Introduction of stochastic differential equations, 2nd edition, Peking University Press, Peking, 2000

[12] S. Hamadène and J.P. Lepeltier, Zero-sum stochastic differential games and BSDEs, Systems Control Lett. 24 (1995) 259–263

[13] H. Kunita, Stochastic flows and stochastic differential equations, Cambridge University Press, 1990

[14] P.L. Lions and A.S. Sznitman, Stochastic differential equation with reflecting boundary conditions, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 37 (1984) 511–537

[15] L. Maticiuc and A. Răşcanu, A stochastic approach to a multivalued Dirichlet-Neumann problem , Stochastic Process. Appl. 120 (2010) 777–800

[16] E. Pardoux and S. Peng, Adapted solution of a backward stochastic differential equation, Systems Control Lett. 14 (1990) 55–61

[17] E. Pardoux and S. Peng, Backward doubly stochastic differential equations and systems of quasilinear SPDE, Probab. Theory Related Fields 88 (1994) 209–227

[18] E. Pardoux and A. Răşcanu, Backward stochastic differential equations with subdifferential operator and related variational inequalities, Stochastic Process. Appl. 76 (1998) 191–215

[19] E. Pardoux and S. Zhang, Generalized BSDEs and nonlinear Neumann boundary value problems, Probab. Theory Related Fields 110 (1998) 535–558

[20] S. Peng, Probabilistic interpretation for systems of quasilinear parabolic partial differential equations, Stochastics Stochastics Rep. 37 (1991) 61–74

[21] P.E. Protter, Stochastic Integration and Differential Equations, 2nd ed., Stochastic Modeling and Applied Probability, Version 2.1, Springer, Berlin, 2005

[22] Y. Ren and M. El Otmani, Generalized reflected BSDEs driven by a Lévy process and an obstacle problem for PDIEs with a nonlinear Neumann boundary condition, J. Comput. Appl. Math. 233 (2010) 2027–2043

[23] Y. Ren and N. Xia, Generalized reflected BSDE and an obstacle problem for PDEs with a nonlinear Neumann boundary condition, Stoch. Anal. Appl. 24 (2006) 1013–1033